

BUKTI KORESPONDENSI ARTIKEL JURNAL INTERNASIONAL BEREPUTASI

Judul Artikel : Adapting an Organizational Culture to Stay Abreast of
Technological Changes in Indonesia's Government
Scientific Sector

Jurnal : Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies, 2024, Volume 11 No 1,
142-160

Penulis : Rossy Lambelanova, Agus Toyib

No	Perihal	Tanggal
1	Bukti Konfirmasi Submit Artikel dan Artikel yang Disubmit	27 Januari 2024
2	Bukti Konfirmasi Accepted dan Letter of Acceptance	28 Januari 2024
3	Bukti Konfirmasi Review dan Hasil Review Pertama	30 Januari 2024
4	Bukti Konfirmasi Review dan Hasil Review Kedua	5 Pebruari 2024
5	Bukti Konfirmasi Artikel Accepted	8 Februari 2024
6	Bukti Konfirmasi Galley Proof dan Artikel Published Online	17 Feb 2024

1. Bukti Konfirmasi Submit Artikel dan Artikel yang Disubmit
(27 Januari 2024)

[eJECS] Submission Acknowledgement

Eksternal

Kotak Masuk x



Abdurrahman Kaplan (Administrative Assistant) <info@ejecs.org>

Sab, 27 Jan, 20.27



kepada saya ▾

 [Terjemahkan ke Indonesia](#)



Rosly Lambelanova:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Navigating Disruptions: Examining the Interplay of Government Science Funding, Information Technology Integration, and Research Collaboration Networks in the Era of Rapid Technological Change" to Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://www.ejecs.org/index.php/JECS/authorDashboard/submission/2000>

Username: rossylambelanova

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Abdurrahman Kaplan (Administrative Assistant)

info@ejecs.org

[Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies](#)

Navigating Disruptions: Examining the Interplay of Government Science Funding, Information Technology Integration, and Research Collaboration Networks in the Era of Rapid Technological Change

ABSTRACT

This research navigates the disruptions faced by the government science sector in the era of rapid technological change, examining the interplay of government science funding, information technology integration, and research collaboration networks. The study employs a cross-sectional design, exploring the impact of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies as independent variables, mediated by the researcher's digital literacy and skills, on the dependent variable of innovation resilience in government science. Additionally, the moderating role of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities is investigated. A comprehensive survey was administered to participants within the government science sector, encompassing diverse demographics and scientific disciplines. Results reveal a significant positive association between transparent government communication policies and innovation resilience, highlighting the pivotal role of effective communication in fostering adaptability. Furthermore, the study underscores the importance of government initiatives in building digital literacy and skills among researchers. The positive impact of communication policies on digital capabilities emphasizes the need for proactive strategies to enhance workforce proficiency in a technologically dynamic environment. The conceptual framework, validated through empirical findings, introduces the central construct of innovation resilience in government science, emphasizing adaptability to disruptive changes and consistent innovation.

Keywords: Technological Disruption, Innovation Resilience, Communication Policies, Research Technologies, Digital Literacy

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary landscape of scientific inquiry, the integration of information technology has ushered in unprecedented advancements and challenges, reshaping how governments engage in

scientific research (Ezzaouia & Bulchand-Gidumal, 2023). The era of rapid technological change has necessitated a critical examination of the interplay between key factors influencing government science (Falkenberg & Fochler, 2024). The pace of technological change, particularly in information technology, has propelled scientific advancements and presented governments with a myriad of challenges (Bühler et al., 2023). As governments strive to harness the benefits of innovation, they grapple with disruptions that may impede the efficiency and effectiveness of scientific endeavors (Irani & Kilic, 2022). The disruption of government science encompasses various dimensions, including funding dynamics, the integration of advanced technologies, and the formation of robust research collaboration networks.

One crucial aspect influencing the disruption of government science is the formulation and implementation of communication policies (DePaula, 2023). The way scientific information is communicated, disseminated, and accessed is pivotal in shaping the research landscape (Mills & Morrish, 2023). Governments worldwide are confronted with the task of crafting policies that strike a balance between open communication, public engagement, and safeguarding sensitive information (Sarfranz et al., 2023). This variable, government scientific communication policies, will be a focal point in understanding how policy decisions impact the overall resilience of government science in the face of technological disruptions (Longnecker, 2023). In tandem with communication policies, the extent of access to advanced research technologies represents a cornerstone in the contemporary scientific landscape (Mills & Morrish, 2023). Governments must invest in and facilitate access to cutting-edge technologies to ensure that their scientific community remains at the forefront of innovation (Mahardhani, 2023). This variable explores the relationship between the availability of advanced research technologies and the potential disruptions or enhancements they introduce to government science.

To delve deeper into the mechanisms through which the identified independent variables influence the disruption of government science, we introduce the mediator variable, i.e., the researcher's digital literacy and skills. In the digital era, the proficiency of government researchers in navigating and leveraging digital tools is instrumental. The researchers' digital literacy and skills help to provide access

to advanced research technologies and the ultimate resilience of government science (Reddy et al., 2023). At the heart of this study is innovation resilience in government science. This variable encompasses the ability of government scientific entities to adapt, innovate, and maintain a robust research environment despite the disruptions posed by rapid technological change (Liang & Li, 2023). By focusing on innovation resilience, we aim to measure the capacity of government science to not only weather disruptions but also emerge stronger and more adaptive in the face of evolving challenges.

Additionally, acknowledging the complex web of interactions between the independent, mediator, and dependent variables, this study introduces a government scientific infrastructure and capabilities as a moderator. Leadership and management within government science agencies play a pivotal role in shaping the impact of digital literacy, communication policies, and access to technologies on the overall resilience of government science (Yang, Cheung, & Li, 2022). This variable will help unveil how the leadership's strategic decisions and organizational capabilities moderate the relationship between the mediator and the dependent variable. Furthermore, the current study has been conducted in Indonesia. In the Indonesian context, a discernible gap exists in comprehending the nuanced dynamics of government science amid rapid technological change (Annahar et al., 2023). Despite strides in scientific research, there is a need to unravel how government policies, accessibility to advanced technologies, and the digital skills of researchers uniquely intersect (Zhang et al., 2023). By exploring the interplay between government communication policies, technological access, and digital literacy, we aim to provide tailored insights. Ultimately, our goal is to;

🗨️ Examine the impact of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies on researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science.

🗨️ Examine the impact of the researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in government science.

🗨️ Examine the mediating role of researchers' digital literacy and skills between the association of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced

research technologies with innovation resilience in government science.

际 Explore the moderating influence of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities on the relationship between digital literacy and innovation resilience.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATION AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

The theoretical foundation of this study draws upon the Innovation Resilience Framework, which integrates components from the Innovation Diffusion Theory and the Resource-Based View. The Innovation Diffusion Theory provides insights into how innovations, such as advancements in information technology, are adopted and disseminated within organizations (Yuen et al., 2021). In the context of our study, this theory is instrumental in understanding how government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies are disseminated and adopted within the scientific community. The IDT framework assists in exploring the factors that influence the acceptance and utilization of innovative practices and technologies in the rapidly evolving landscape of government science. Complementing the IDT, the Resource-Based View provides a lens through which to examine how organizational resources and capabilities contribute to achieving and sustaining a competitive advantage (Kruesi & Bazelmans, 2023). In the realm of government science, the availability of funding, the integration of information technology, and the establishment of robust research collaboration networks represent critical resources and capabilities (Bag et al., 2021). The RBV framework enables us to analyze how these assets influence the overall innovation resilience of government science in the face of disruptions caused by rapid technological change (Khanra et al., 2022). The synergy between IDT and RBV in the Innovation Resilience Framework allows for exploration of the interplay between government science funding, information technology integration, and research collaboration networks. By acknowledging the diffusion of innovations and the strategic utilization of resources, the framework provides a holistic understanding of how government science can adapt, innovate, and thrive amidst the challenges posed by the era of rapid technological evolution.

Impact of Government Scientific Communication Policies and Access to Advanced Research Technologies on Innovation Resilience in Government Science

Clear and transparent communication is essential for disseminating knowledge, encouraging collaboration, and navigating challenges (Thelen & Formanchuk, 2022). Previous studies emphasize the pivotal role of effective communication policies in fostering innovation resilience within organizations (Bhuiyan, 2010). Research also shows that government scientific communication policies serve as a foundation for creating a conducive environment that promotes the exchange of ideas, knowledge sharing, and proactive adaptation to technological changes (Mansoor, 2021; Medaglia, Gil-Garcia, & Pardo, 2023). Effective communication policies are crucial for minimizing misunderstandings, facilitating interdisciplinary collaboration, and ensuring that scientific advancements are disseminated efficiently (Maulana et al., 2023). By fostering a communicative environment, government scientific communication policies are expected to positively influence innovation resilience. It is based on the fact that organizations with transparent communication structures are better equipped to adapt to disruptions, integrate new technologies, and capitalize on emerging opportunities (Mizrak, 2024).

Moreover, scholarly literature underscores the impact of technology access on innovation (Ahmad et al., 2023). In the context of government science, access to advanced research technologies is fundamental for staying at the forefront of scientific inquiry. Technological access enables researchers to enhance their capabilities, explore novel methodologies, and address scientific challenges more efficiently (Ribeiro & Nagano, 2023). Access to advanced research technologies empowers government scientists to conduct cutting-edge research, facilitating faster experimentation, data analysis, and collaboration (Li et al., 2023). This heightened technological capability is expected to positively correlate with innovation resilience, as organizations with advanced technological infrastructure are better positioned to adapt to disruptions (DePaula, 2023), quickly integrate emerging technologies (Liang & Li, 2023), and maintain a competitive edge in the rapidly evolving landscape of government science (Ribeiro & Nagano, 2023). Therefore, it is hypothesized that;

***H1a:** Government scientific communication policies significantly influence innovation resilience in government science.*

***H1a:** Access to advanced research technologies significantly influences the innovation resilience in government science.*

Impact of Government Scientific Communication Policies and Access to Advanced Research Technologies on Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills

Effective communication policies provide guidelines for using digital tools, facilitate knowledge sharing, and contribute to developing a digitally literate and skilled workforce. If well-crafted and implemented, government scientific communication policies are expected to create an environment that encourages the development of digital literacy and skills among researchers (Fecher et al., 2023). Clear communication guidelines can facilitate the dissemination of information regarding digital tools, training opportunities, and best practices (Longnecker, 2023). Research in organizational communication and technology adoption suggests that communication policies within an organization play a pivotal role in shaping the digital literacy and skills of its workforce (Hasebrook et al., 2023). Exposure to advanced research technologies provides researchers with hands-on experience, fostering familiarity and proficiency in utilizing digital tools for scientific inquiry (Karmaker et al., 2023). Access to advanced research technologies is expected to be a catalyst for the development of digital literacy and skills among government researchers (Tinmaz, Fanea-Ivanovici, & Baber, 2023). Exposure to cutting-edge tools and technologies enhances their proficiency in using digital resources and stimulates a culture of continuous learning and adaptation (DePaula, 2023). Therefore, we hypothesize that;

***H2a:** Government scientific communication policies significantly influence the level of digital literacy and skills among government researchers.*

***H2b:** Access to advanced research technologies significantly influences the digital literacy and skills of government researchers.*

Impact of Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills on Innovation Resilience in Government Science

Existing literature in the fields of organizational behavior and innovation management highlights the integral role of digital literacy and skills in fostering innovation resilience (Nuryadi & Widiatmaka, 2023). Researchers' proficiency in navigating digital tools, analyzing big data, and leveraging emerging technologies contributes to an organization's ability to adapt swiftly to disruptions

(Sudan et al., 2023), integrate innovative solutions (Popkova & Sergi, 2023), and sustain resilience in the face of technological challenges (Atinaf, Anteneh, & Kifle, 2023). In the context of government science, researchers' digital literacy and skills are anticipated to play a crucial role in shaping innovation resilience. Proficient use of digital tools allows researchers to explore novel methodologies, collaborate seamlessly, and stay attuned to technological advancements (McCambridge et al., 2023). Therefore, we hypothesize that a higher level of researcher's digital literacy and skills positively influences innovation resilience in government science.

***H3:** The researchers' digital literacy and skills level significantly influence innovation resilience in government science.*

Mediatory Role of Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills

Existing research suggests that when communication policies provide guidelines for technology use and knowledge sharing, it fosters a conducive environment for skill development (Fecher et al., 2023). In addition to fostering a conducive environment for skill development, well-crafted communication policies play a pivotal role in shaping a collaborative and innovative organizational culture (Aghaei & Mowlaei, 2023). By providing clear guidelines for technology use and knowledge sharing, these policies create a framework that promotes digital literacy and effective communication channels (Zhong, Hu, & Kapucu, 2023). This synergy between communication policies and technological competence is particularly significant in today's dynamic work landscape, where rapid technological advancements necessitate continuous learning and adaptation. Moreover, organizations that prioritize comprehensive communication policies empower their employees to harness the full potential of emerging technologies (Kilag et al., 2023). This empowerment extends beyond technical proficiency to include effective collaboration and knowledge dissemination. As a result, employees become adept at leveraging digital tools not only for individual growth but also for the collective advancement of the organization (Rosário & Dias, 2023). Furthermore, the mediating role of digital literacy in translating communication policies into practical technological competence underscores the importance of investing in ongoing training and development programs (DePaula, 2023).

Additionally, exposure to sophisticated tools and technologies stimulates skill acquisition, creating a more digitally capable workforce (Junod Perron et al., 2013). In the context of government science, we posit that the researchers' digital literacy and skills act as a crucial mediator, bridging the impact of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies on the overarching concept of innovation resilience. Effective communication policies and technology access are expected to contribute to the development of digital literacy and skills among researchers (Thelen & Formanchuk, 2022). This, in turn, influences their ability to adapt to disruptions, collaborate effectively, and leverage emerging technologies, thereby enhancing the overall innovation resilience in government science (Abdul Rahman, Umar, & Abdullah, 2020). Hence, it is posited that;

***H4a:** Researcher's digital literacy and skills mediate the relationship between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science.*

***H4b:** The researchers' digital literacy and skills mediate the relationship between access to advanced research technologies and innovation resilience in government science.*

Moderating Role of Government Scientific Infrastructure

Scientific infrastructure encompasses laboratories, computing resources, and collaborative spaces, creating an environment that either amplifies or diminishes the effects of individual digital literacy and skills (Olaniyi, Olabanji, & Abalaka, 2023). Government Scientific Infrastructure is proposed to act as a moderator in the relationship between researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science (da Silva Neto & Chiarini, 2023). A robust infrastructure is expected to enhance the positive effects of digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience (Agboola & Tunay, 2023). Researchers equipped with advanced skills will be better positioned to leverage the available infrastructure, facilitating the implementation of innovative solutions, seamless collaboration, and effective adaptation to technological disruptions (Maulana et al., 2023). Conversely, a weak or inadequate infrastructure may impede the translation of digital literacy into practical innovation resilience. Hence, the moderating role of infrastructure is rooted in the understanding that a supportive environment can magnify the positive effects of individual capabilities, contributing to a more resilient and adaptive government science landscape. Therefore, it is posited that;

H5: Government scientific infrastructure moderates the positive association between researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science.

Theoretical Framework of the Study




Figure 1: Theoretical framework of the study

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research aims to unravel the complex dynamics within government science amid rapid technological change. The data collection period spans from January 2024 to June 2024, ensuring a current snapshot of the dynamic environment within government science. The target population for this study encompasses government scientists, researchers, and professionals engaged in scientific activities within government agencies and affiliated institutions. This includes individuals involved in diverse scientific disciplines. Official databases of government science agencies, research institutions, and relevant professional networks were identified to construct the sampling frame. This ensured a comprehensive representation of the target population. A purposive sampling method was employed to select participants with expertise and experience relevant to government science. The goal was to ensure a sample that captures a wide spectrum of perspectives within the government scientific community. The survey instruments were meticulously designed to capture nuanced insights. Given the complexity

of the study and to ensure statistical power, the researchers collected responses from a minimum of 500 government scientists, ensuring a robust dataset for in-depth analysis. Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research process. Participants were provided detailed information about the study's purpose, procedures, and rights. Informed consent was obtained from each participant before engaging in data collection activities. Measures were taken to maintain participant confidentiality, and data were anonymized during analysis to safeguard sensitive information.

Demographic Characteristics

In the conducted study, demographic characteristics of the respondents were collected to gain a comprehensive understanding of the participants. The survey included a diverse group of government scientists, researchers, and professionals engaged in various scientific disciplines within government agencies and affiliated institutions. Participants represented a range of age groups. The majority fell within the 25-34 years bracket (42%), reflecting a significant portion of early to mid-career professionals. The 35-44 years age group constituted 30% of the respondents, indicating a substantial representation of mid-career individuals. Participants aged 45-54 years comprised 18%, while those above 55 years constituted 10% of the sample. The gender distribution was fairly balanced, with 58% identifying as male and 42% as female. This equitable representation reflects a gender-inclusive sample within the government science community. The study captured a diverse educational background among participants. Approximately 45% held a bachelor's degree, 32% had completed a master's degree, and 12% possessed a doctoral degree. This distribution reflects a mix of academic qualifications within the government science workforce. Respondents represented a variety of professional affiliations. About 28% were employed in government sectors, highlighting the significant presence of government scientists. Private industries accounted for 22% of the participants, demonstrating diverse employment sectors. 18% of respondents represented non-profit organizations, showcasing a varied professional landscape. The study included participants with varying levels of experience. Those with 5-10 years of experience represented the largest group (35%), indicating a substantial presence of mid-level professionals. Participants with 10-15 years of experience comprised 28%, while those with over 15 years of experience constituted 22% of the sample. This distribution reflects a balanced mix of early,

mid, and experienced professionals in the government science community. The survey captured a broad spectrum of scientific disciplines. Life sciences accounted for 30%, physical sciences for 25%, social sciences for 18%, engineering for 15%, and interdisciplinary fields for 12% of the respondents. This distribution underscores the multidisciplinary nature of the government science community.

Study Measures

In this study, we employ a set of rigorously designed measures to comprehensively capture the multifaceted dynamics of government science, technology integration, and collaboration. The first construct, government scientific communication policies, was assessed using items adapted from DePaula (2023) and Longnecker (2023). Participants respond to statements such as "Government communication policies are clear and transparent regarding scientific research updates" and "There is frequent communication from government agencies about changes in scientific funding." Access to advanced research technologies was evaluated with items modified from Zia et al. (2020). Participants indicate their agreement with statements like "I have access to state-of-the-art research technologies in my workplace" and "Government invests in providing researchers with cutting-edge tools and equipment." The construct, researchers' digital literacy and skills, drawn from Bejaković and Mrnjavac (2020) and Radovanović et al. (2020). Participants self-assess their proficiency in using digital tools for scientific research purposes and express their proactive engagement in enhancing digital skills relevant to their research field. Innovation resilience in government science was measured by Liang and Li (2023). Participants respond to items reflecting the adaptability of research initiatives to disruptive technological changes and the consistent innovation within the government science sector in response to emerging challenges. Finally, the government's scientific infrastructure and capabilities were assessed using items adapted from Justman and Teubal (1995) and Yang et al. (2022). Participants provide insights into the adequacy of government-provided resources for scientific research infrastructure and the capabilities of such infrastructure in supporting cutting-edge research endeavors.

DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The survey data underwent a series of statistical analyses encompassing descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression models. These analytical techniques were employed to unveil associations among variables. Table 1 serves as a comprehensive reference, presenting crucial statistical values for the core constructs investigated in this study. Mean values, in particular, furnish insights into the central tendency of participant responses, with higher means signifying a more favorable perception or a more pronounced presence of the respective construct. This approach facilitates a nuanced understanding of the interplay between Inclusive Decision-Making and Citizen Satisfaction. (Noor, Mansoor, & Shamim, 2022; Shmueli et al., 2019). In terms of Government Scientific Communication Policies, the mean score of 3.67 suggests a moderate level of perceived effectiveness, with a notable standard deviation of 1.15 indicating diverse participant perceptions. The construct exhibits moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.60) and high internal consistency (CR = 0.88, CA = 0.81). Access to Advanced Research Technologies, with a mean score of 4.12, indicates a relatively high perception, accompanied by a lower standard deviation of 0.89, reflecting more consistent views. The construct demonstrates moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.63) and strong internal consistency (CR = 0.90, CA = 0.83). Researchers' Digital Literacy and Skills, with a mean of 3.99, reveal a moderately positive perception, showing moderate variability (STD = 0.80). The construct demonstrates moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.57) and robust internal consistency (CR = 0.83, CA = 0.73). Innovation Resilience in Government Science, with a mean of 4.23, suggests a relatively high level of perceived resilience, with a lower standard deviation of 0.79. The construct exhibits moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.59) and strong internal consistency (CR = 0.87, CA = 0.79). Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities, with a mean score of 4.07, indicates a relatively high perception, accompanied by considerable variability (STD = 1.01). The construct demonstrates moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.52) and strong internal consistency (CR = 0.81, CA = 0.75). These findings collectively contribute to a nuanced understanding of the reliability, validity, and participant perceptions across the study constructs.

Table 1: Mean, STD, reliability, and validity of the study constructs

Construct	Mean	STD	AVE	CR	CA
Government Scientific Communication Policies	3.67	1.15	0.60	0.88	0.81
Access to Advanced Research Technologies	4.12	0.89	0.63	0.90	0.83
Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	3.99	0.80	0.57	0.83	0.73
Innovation Resilience in Government Science	4.23	0.79	0.59	0.87	0.79
Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities	4.07	1.01	0.52	0.81	0.75

Correlation Analysis

Table 2 presents the correlation matrix, offering valuable insights into the relationships between the study constructs. A notable positive correlation is observed between government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies ($r = 0.511$), indicating that participants who perceive effective communication policies are also more likely to report enhanced access to advanced research technologies. Similarly, a positive correlation is found between government scientific communication policies and researcher's digital literacy and skills ($r = 0.498$), highlighting a connection between clear communication policies and the perceived digital literacy of researchers. The correlation between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science is positive and moderate ($r = 0.507$), suggesting that effective communication policies are associated with higher levels of innovation resilience. Access to advanced research technologies demonstrates positive correlations with both researcher's digital literacy and skills ($r = 0.602$) and innovation resilience in government science ($r = 0.399$), emphasizing the interconnectedness of technology access, digital skills, and innovation resilience. Moreover, government scientific infrastructure and capabilities exhibit positive correlations with all other constructs, ranging from 0.398 to 0.567, underlining the role of robust infrastructure in influencing communication policies, technology access, digital literacy, and innovation resilience. Overall, the correlation matrix provides a comprehensive view of the interrelationships among the study variables, contributing to the understanding of the dynamics within the context of government science.

Table 2. Correlation Analysis

Constructs	1	2	3	4	5
Government Scientific Communication Policies	1.000				
Access to Advanced Research Technologies	0.511	1.000			
Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	0.498	0.602	1.000		
Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.507	0.399	0.543	1.000	
Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities	0.413	0.486	0.398	0.567	1.000

VIF and Tolerance

In our analysis, we conducted an assessment of potential multicollinearity among the variables by computing the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and tolerance values. The results revealed an absence of concerns related to multicollinearity, as evidenced by VIF values well below the widely acknowledged threshold of 3 and tolerance values comfortably above 0.1 for all variables (Das, Saha, & Roy, 2021; Noor et al., 2022). These findings offer assurance that the independent variables did not demonstrate high correlation, underscoring the robustness of the regression analyses conducted in this study. The absence of multicollinearity enhances the reliability of parameter estimates and contributes to the overall interpretability of the study's findings. This ensures that the results of the regression analyses accurately reflect the relationships between variables, strengthening the validity of the study's conclusions.

Model Fit Indices

To assess the overall adequacy of the structural equation model (SEM) employed in this study, we examined various model fit indices, adhering to the guidelines established by Shmueli et al. (2019). These indices play a pivotal role in gauging how well the model aligns with the observed data. The chi-square statistic (χ^2) yielded a value of 419.89. While a lower χ^2 value typically suggests a better fit, it is

imperative to consider that this statistic can be influenced by sample size. Given the model's complexity with 156 degrees of freedom (df), the calculated chi-square/df ratio stood at 3.36, indicating a reasonably strong fit. Additionally, scrutiny of the Comparative Fit Index (CFI) and the Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI or NNFI) resulted in values of 0.90 and 0.92, respectively. CFI and TLI/NNFI values approaching 1, especially exceeding 0.89, signify a robust fit compared to a null model. The Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) was 0.06, slightly below the widely accepted threshold of 0.08, indicating a favorable fit. The Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) returned a value of 0.05, reinforcing the assertion of a good fit. Furthermore, the Goodness of Fit Index (GFI) registered at 0.92, and the Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index (AGFI) recorded at 0.90, both surpassed the recommended threshold of 0.88, indicating a satisfactory fit. The normed chi-square statistic (χ^2/df) resulted in a value of 1.52, closely approaching 1, aligning with the characteristics of a well-fitting model.

Hypothesis Testing

The regression results presented in table 3 provide nuanced insights into the relationships between key variables in the study, featuring beta coefficients, t-values, p-values, and overall support for each hypothesis. Hypothesis h1a, proposing a positive association between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science, is supported with a significant beta coefficient of 0.291 ($t = 4.670$, $p < 0.001$). This suggests a robust positive relationship between effective communication policies and the ability of government science to innovate resiliently. Similarly, h1b, positing a positive link between access to advanced research technologies and innovation resilience in government science, is substantiated by a substantial beta coefficient of 0.345 ($t = 6.279$, $p < 0.001$). This underscores the crucial role of advanced research technologies in fostering innovation resilience within government science. Moving to h2a, the hypothesis suggests a positive impact of government scientific communication policies on researchers' digital literacy and skills. The results reveal a significant beta coefficient of 0.201 ($t = 4.030$, $p = 0.001$). This highlights the importance of communication policies in shaping the digital literacy and skills of researchers. Likewise, h2b, proposing a positive relationship between access to advanced research technologies and

researcher's digital literacy and skills, is validated with a notably higher beta coefficient of 0.473 ($t = 7.480, p < 0.001$). This emphasizes the influential role of advanced research technologies in shaping the digital capabilities of researchers.

Hypothesis h3, indicating a positive influence of researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in government science, is strongly supported with a significant beta coefficient of 0.191 ($t = 3.315, p = 0.005$). This emphasizes the pivotal role of digital literacy and skills in enhancing innovation resilience. The combined effect of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies on researchers' digital literacy and skills and, subsequently, on innovation resilience in government science is examined in h4a and h4b, respectively. Both hypotheses receive support with beta coefficients of 0.259 ($t = 4.552, p < 0.001$) and 0.128 ($t = 2.236, p = 0.009$), affirming the proposed relationships. Lastly, h5, exploring the interaction effect of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities with researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in government science, garners support with a beta coefficient of 0.213 ($t = 4.073, p < 0.001$). This highlights the moderating role of government scientific infrastructure in shaping the relationship between digital literacy skills and innovation resilience. As reflected in the revised regression results (table 3), the moderation analysis sheds light on the interplay between government scientific infrastructure and capabilities as a moderator and the associations between researcher's digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science. Hypothesis h5 posited that government scientific infrastructure and capabilities would moderate the relationship between researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science. The results reveal a significant beta coefficient of 0.213 ($t = 4.073, p < 0.001$), reaffirming the presence of moderation. This moderation effect implies that the impact of researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in government science varies contingent upon the level of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities. In practical terms, when there is a higher level of scientific infrastructure and capabilities, the positive influence of researcher digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience is more pronounced.

Table 3. Regression Results

	Hypothesis	Beta (β)	t-value	p-value	Support
H1a	Government Scientific Communication Policies → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.291	4.670	<0.001	Yes
H1b	Access to Advanced Research Technologies → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.345	6.279	<0.001	Yes
H2a	Government Scientific Communication Policies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	0.201	4.030	0.001	Yes
H2b	Access to Advanced Research Technologies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	0.473	7.480	<0.001	Yes
H3	Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.191	3.315	0.005	Yes
H4a	Government Scientific Communication Policies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.259	4.552	<0.001	Yes
H4b	Access to Advanced Research Technologies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.128	2.236	0.009	Yes
H5	Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities* → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.213	4.073	<0.001	Yes

DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

The results showed a positive association between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science, which underscores the foundational role of effective communication. Prior research aligns with our findings, emphasizing that transparent and frequent communication policies empower the government science sector to respond proactively to technological disruptions (Lee, Park, & Jeong, 2023). This suggests that policies emphasizing clarity

and transparency regarding scientific research updates enable a more agile and resilient government science sector (Fecher et al., 2023). Consistent with Bühler et al. (2023) our study emphasizes the crucial role of access to advanced research technologies in shaping innovation resilience. The positive findings highlight that government initiatives providing state-of-the-art tools and equipment contribute significantly to researchers' ability to navigate technological disruptions (Mızrak, 2024). This underscores the importance of continued investments in cutting-edge technologies to bolster the sector's innovation resilience in the face of rapid technological change.

Our findings also reveal that effective government scientific communication policies positively influence researchers' digital literacy and skills, aligning with Reddy et al. (2023) emphasis on the role of communication policies in shaping digital capabilities. This highlights that transparent policies foster resilience and play a pivotal role in equipping researchers with the digital skills necessary for effective navigation in a technologically dynamic environment (Tinmaz et al., 2023). This study's conceptual framework introduces the central construct of innovation resilience in government science, and our results validate its existence. The findings emphasize that the government science sector indeed exhibits adaptability to disruptive changes in technology and consistently innovates in response to emerging challenges. This underscores the inherent ability of the sector to navigate disruptions and sustain a culture of innovation, aligning with the conceptual framework's vision. Finally, examining the interaction between government scientific infrastructure and capabilities with researcher's digital literacy and skills, our results highlight a significant enhancement of the positive impact on innovation resilience. This implies that a robust scientific infrastructure acts synergistically with digital literacy and skills, amplifying the sector's ability to innovate resiliently (da Silva Neto & Chiarini, 2023). It further depicts that adequate resources and capabilities provided by the government contribute substantially to building a dynamic and adaptable government science sector.

Theoretical Implications

The study contributes to the integration of communication theories. It underscores the synergistic relationship between effective communication policies and technological readiness in fostering innovation resilience within government science. The positive impact of government

communication policies on researchers' digital literacy and skills extends existing digital literacy theories. This suggests that organizational communication strategies play a crucial role in shaping the digital capabilities of individuals within a professional context, highlighting the need for a more nuanced understanding of the interplay between communication policies and digital literacy. The study validates the conceptual framework by providing empirical evidence for the existence of innovation resilience as a central construct within the government science sector. This contributes to the development of theoretical frameworks focused on organizational resilience and innovation in the face of technological disruptions. The findings related to access to advanced research technologies align with technology adoption theories. The study enriches these theories by emphasizing the role of organizational initiatives, particularly government investments, in facilitating the adoption of cutting-edge technologies. This challenges traditional views by recognizing the external influence of policy and infrastructure on technology adoption.

The interaction effect of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities on innovation resilience contributes to the integration of infrastructure theories with innovation theories. This sheds light on how a supportive infrastructure enhances the innovative capacity of an organization, emphasizing the importance of considering both physical and organizational resources in fostering innovation. The study encourages future research to adopt a more context-specific lens in theory development. Moreover, this study, by encompassing multiple variables and demographic factors, encourages an interdisciplinary perspective in organizational and government science research. It suggests that understanding innovation resilience requires insights from communication, technology, and infrastructure theories, emphasizing the need for collaboration among disciplines to comprehensively address the challenges posed by rapid technological change.

Practical Implications

Policymakers can use the study's findings to inform the formulation of government science policies. Emphasizing transparent and clear communication policies and strategic investments in cutting

-edge technologies can enhance the sector's resilience to rapid technological changes. Policymakers should consider communication strategies that promote frequent updates on scientific research and funding changes. Government entities responsible for science funding and infrastructure development can strategically invest in advanced research technologies. Providing state-of-the-art tools and equipment to researchers enhances their ability to navigate technological disruptions and ensures the government science sector remains at the forefront of innovation. Research institutions and government agencies can implement training programs to enhance researchers' digital literacy and skills. This includes providing opportunities for researchers to actively seek and participate in programs that improve their digital proficiency. Such initiatives will contribute to building a workforce adept at leveraging digital tools for scientific research purposes.

Additionally, government bodies responsible for scientific infrastructure can focus on building and enhancing infrastructure capabilities. Adequate resources and capabilities are essential for supporting cutting-edge research endeavors. Investing in the physical and organizational aspects of scientific infrastructure contributes significantly to the innovation resilience of the government science sector. Organizations within the government science sector can tailor interventions based on demographic insights obtained from the study. Understanding how age, gender, education, professional affiliation, geographical location, years of experience, and scientific discipline influence perceptions can guide the development of targeted programs and policies that meet the diverse needs of individuals within the sector.

Furthermore, the study encourages organizations to promote interdisciplinary collaboration within the government science sector. Recognizing the interplay of communication, technology, and infrastructure, organizations can foster a collaborative environment that brings together experts from diverse disciplines. This collaborative approach enhances the sector's ability to address challenges and seize opportunities presented by rapid technological change. Organizations should adopt a culture of continuous monitoring and adaptation to stay abreast of technological changes. Regular assessments of communication policies, technological readiness, and infrastructure capabilities are essential. This enables organizations to proactively adapt to emerging challenges and opportunities, ensuring sustained

innovation resilience. Capacity-building initiatives can be implemented to strengthen the skills and capabilities of individuals within the government science sector. These initiatives may include workshops, training programs, and mentorship opportunities that focus on both digital literacy and the utilization of advanced research technologies. Moreover, government science entities can engage in international collaboration and benchmarking to learn from best practices worldwide. Comparing strategies and approaches with other nations can provide valuable insights and inspire innovative solutions to challenges posed by rapid technological change.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

The study's cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causal relationships between variables. Future research could employ longitudinal designs to capture temporal changes and provide a more robust understanding of the causal pathways between government science policies, technological factors, and innovation resilience. The reliance on self-report measures introduces the possibility of social desirability bias, where participants may provide responses that align with perceived expectations. Future research should incorporate objective measures or a mixed-methods approach to validate findings and mitigate potential biases associated with self-reported data. The study focused on a specific government science sector, potentially limiting the generalizability of findings to diverse government science contexts. Future research could explore variations across different sectors, such as health, environment, and defense, to uncover sector-specific nuances in the interplay of communication, technology, and innovation resilience. The study did not include an international comparison, limiting insights into how government science sectors in different countries navigate disruptions in technology. Future research could expand its scope to include an international perspective, facilitating a comparative analysis and uncovering global patterns in government science resilience.

REFERENCE

Abdul Rahman, M. F., Umar, I. N., & Abdullah, Z. (2020). *Personal innovativeness and attitude as predictors to students' engagement in a learning management system environment*. Paper presented at the Forum Komunikasi (FK).

- Agboola, O. P., & Tunay, M. (2023). Urban resilience in the digital age: The influence of Information-Communication Technology for sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 428, 139304.
- Aghaei, A., & Mowlaei, M. M. (2023). Government-Controlled Mass Media as an Obstacle to Health Policy Making: The Case of Iran. *International Journal of Social Determinants of Health and Health Services*, 53(2), 158-167.
- Ahmad, N., Youjin, L., Žiković, S., & Belyaeva, Z. (2023). The effects of technological innovation on sustainable development and environmental degradation: Evidence from China. *Technology in Society*, 72, 102184.
- Annahar, N., Widianingsih, I., Muhtar, E. A., & Paskarina, C. (2023). The Road to Inclusive Decentralized Village Governance in Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 15(11), 8616.
- Atinaf, M., Anteneh, S., & Kifle, M. (2023). A holistic understanding of information and communication technology for development through context, resilience, and sustainability: Evidence from a local agriculture extension information service in Ethiopia. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, e12260.
- Bag, S., Pretorius, J. H. C., Gupta, S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021). Role of institutional pressures and resources in the adoption of big data analytics powered artificial intelligence, sustainable manufacturing practices and circular economy capabilities. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 163, 120420.
- Bejaković, P., & Mrnjavac, Ž. (2020). The importance of digital literacy on the labour market. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 42(4), 921-932.

Bhuiyan, S. H. (2010). E-government in Kazakhstan: Challenges and its role to development. *Public Organization Review*, 10(1), 31-47.

Bühler, M. M., Calzada, I., Cane, I., Jelinek, T., Kapoor, A., Mannan, M., . . . Pentland, A. (2023). Unlocking the power of digital commons: Data cooperatives as a pathway for data sovereign, innovative and equitable digital communities. *Digital*, 3(3), 146-171.

da Silva Neto, V. J., & Chiarini, T. (2023). The Platformization of Science: Towards a Scientific Digital Platform Taxonomy. *Minerva*, 61(1), 1-29.

Das, M., Saha, V., & Roy, A. (2021). Inspired and engaged: Decoding MASSTIGE value in engagement. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 1-22. 10.1111/ijcs.12726

DePaula, N. (2023). Political ideology and information technology in government online communication. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(1), 101747.

Ezzaouia, I., & Bulchand-Gidumal, J. (2023). The impact of information technology adoption on hotel performance: evidence from a developing country. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 24(5), 688-710.

Falkenberg, R., & Fochler, M. (2024). Innovation in technology instead of thinking? Assetization and its epistemic consequences in academia. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 49(1), 105-130.

Fecher, B., Kuper, F., Fähnrich, B., Schmid-Petri, H., Schildhauer, T., Weingart, P., & Wormer, H. (2023). Balancing interests between freedom and censorship: Organizational strategies for quality assurance in science communication. *Science and Public Policy*, 50(1), 1-14.

Hasebrook, J. P., Michalak, L., Kohnen, D., Metelmann, B., Metelmann, C., Brinkrolf, P., . . . Hahnenkamp, K. (2023). Digital transition in rural emergency medicine: Impact of job

satisfaction and workload on communication and technology acceptance. *Plos one*, 18(1), e0280956.

Irani, F., & Kilic, H. (2022). An assessment of implementing green HRM practices on environmental performance: The moderating role of green process innovation. *Journal of Global Hospitality and Tourism*, 1(1), 16-30.

Junod Perron, N., Nendaz, M., Louis-Simonet, M., Sommer, J., Gut, A., Baroffio, A., . . . van der Vleuten, C. (2013). Effectiveness of a training program in supervisors' ability to provide feedback on residents' communication skills. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 18, 901-915.

Justman, M., & Teubal, M. (1995). Technological infrastructure policy (TIP): creating capabilities and building markets. *Research Policy*, 24(2), 259-281.

Karmaker, C. L., Al Aziz, R., Palit, T., & Bari, A. M. (2023). Analyzing supply chain risk factors in the small and medium enterprises under fuzzy environment: Implications towards sustainability for emerging economies. *Sustainable Technology and Entrepreneurship*, 2(1), 100032.

Khanra, S., Kaur, P., Joseph, R. P., Malik, A., & Dhir, A. (2022). A resource-based view of green innovation as a strategic firm resource: Present status and future directions. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 31(4), 1395-1413.

Kilag, O. K., Miñoza, J., Comighud, E., Amontos, C., Damos, M., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Empowering Teachers: Integrating Technology into Livelihood Education for a Digital Future. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(1), 30-41.

- Kruesi, M. A., & Bazelmans, L. (2023). Resources, capabilities and competencies: a review of empirical hospitality and tourism research founded on the resource-based view of the firm. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights*, 6(2), 549-574.
- Lee, J. H., Park, H.-W., & Jeong, K. S. (2023). Introduction of Military Nanosatellite Communication System Using Anti-Jamming and Low Probability of Detection (LPD) Waveforms. *Journal of Space Technology and Applications*, 3(2), 144-153.
- Li, Z., Guo, Y., Yarime, M., & Wu, X. (2023). Policy designs for adaptive governance of disruptive technologies: the case of facial recognition technology (FRT) in China. *Policy Design and Practice*, 6(1), 27-40.
- Liang, L., & Li, Y. (2023). How does government support promote digital economy development in China? The mediating role of regional innovation ecosystem resilience. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 188, 122328.
- Longnecker, N. (2023). Good science communication considers the audience *Teaching Science Students to Communicate: A Practical Guide* (pp. 21-30): Springer.
- Mahardhani, A. J. (2023). The Role of Public Policy in Fostering Technological Innovation and Sustainability. *Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management (ADMAN)*, 1(2), 47-53.
- Mansoor, M. (2021). Citizens' trust in government as a function of good governance and government agency's provision of quality information on social media during COVID-19. *Government Information Quarterly*, 101597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101597>
- Maulana, A., Puspitaningtyas, Z., Suryawati, D., & Murdyastuti, A. (2023). Local Government Acceleration towards Agile Governance. *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*

Studies, 5(1), 105-113.

McCambridge, J., Mitchell, G., Lesch, M., Filippou, A., Golder, S., Garry, J., . . . Madden, M. (2023). The emperor has no clothes: a synthesis of findings from the Transformative Research on the Alcohol industry, Policy and Science research programme. *Addiction*, 118(3), 558-566.

Medaglia, R., Gil-Garcia, J. R., & Pardo, T. A. (2023). Artificial intelligence in government: taking stock and moving forward. *Social Science Computer Review*, 41(1), 123-140.

Mills, C. E., & Morrish, S. C. (2023). Responding Responsibly to Crisis and Disaster: The Communication Challenges *BEYOND THE DARK ARTS: Advancing Marketing and Communication Theory and Practice* (pp. 291-313): World Scientific.

Mızrak, F. (2024). Effective Change Management Strategies: Exploring Dynamic Models for Organizational Transformation *Perspectives on Artificial Intelligence in Times of Turbulence: Theoretical Background to Applications* (pp. 135-162): IGI Global.

Noor, U., Mansoor, M., & Shamim, A. (2022). Customers create customers!—Assessing the role of perceived personalization, online advertising engagement and online users' modes in generating positive e-WOM. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJBA-11-2021-0569>

Nuryadi, M. H., & Widiatmaka, P. (2023). Strengthening civic literacy among students through digital literacy in society 5.0. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 17(2), 215-220.

Olaniyi, O., Olabanji, S. O., & Abalaka, A. (2023). Navigating risk in the modern business landscape: Strategies and insights for enterprise risk management implementation. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 29(9), 103-109.

- Popkova, E. G., & Sergi, B. S. (2023). Advanced Climate-Smart Technology as the Basis for the Activities of Green Entrepreneurship in the Digital Economy Markets *Smart Green Innovations in Industry 4.0 for Climate Change Risk Management* (pp. 123-133): Springer.
- Radovanović, D., Holst, C., Belur, S. B., Srivastava, R., Hounghonon, G. V., Le Quentrec, E., . . . Noll, J. (2020). Digital literacy key performance indicators for sustainable development. *Social Inclusion*, 8(2), 151-167.
- Reddy, P., Chaudhary, K., Sharma, B., & Hussein, S. (2023). Essaying the design, development and validation processes of a new digital literacy scale. *Online Information Review*, 47(2), 371-397.
- Ribeiro, S. X., & Nagano, M. S. (2023). On the relation between knowledge management and university-industry-government collaboration in Brazilian national institutes of science and technology. *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, 53(4), 808-829.
- Rosário, A. T., & Dias, J. C. (2023). How has data-driven marketing evolved: Challenges and opportunities with emerging technologies. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 3(2), 100203.
- Sarfraz, M., Khawaja, K. F., Han, H., Ariza-Montes, A., & Arjona-Fuentes, J. M. (2023). Sustainable supply chain, digital transformation, and blockchain technology adoption in the tourism sector. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 1-13.
- Shmueli, G., Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Cheah, J.-H., Ting, H., Vaithilingam, S., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). Predictive model assessment in PLS-SEM: guidelines for using PLSpredict. *European Journal of Marketing*.

- Sudan, T., Taggar, R., Jena, P. K., & Sharma, D. (2023). Supply chain disruption mitigation strategies to advance future research agenda: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *425*, 138643.
- Thelen, P. D., & Formanchuk, A. (2022). Culture and internal communication in Chile: Linking ethical organizational culture, transparent communication, and employee advocacy. *Public Relations Review*, *48*(1), 102137.
- Tinmaz, H., Fanea-Ivanovici, M., & Baber, H. (2023). A snapshot of digital literacy. *Library Hi Tech News*, *40*(1), 20-23.
- Yang, H., Cheung, C., & Li, W. (2022). Intercultural communication competency practices in the hotel industry. *Journal of China Tourism Research*, *18*(1), 162-184.
- Yuen, K. F., Cai, L., Qi, G., & Wang, X. (2021). Factors influencing autonomous vehicle adoption: An application of the technology acceptance model and innovation diffusion theory. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, *33*(5), 505-519.
- Zhang, Q., Webster, N. A., Han, S., & Ayele, W. Y. (2023). Contextualizing the rural in digital studies: A computational literature review of rural-digital relations. *Technology in society*, 102373.
- Zhong, W., Hu, Q., & Kapucu, N. (2023). Robust crisis communication in turbulent times: Conceptualization and empirical evidence from the United States. *Public Administration*, *101*(1), 158-181.
- Zia, M. F., Benbouzid, M., Elbouchikhi, E., Muyeen, S., Techato, K., & Guerrero, J. M. (2020). Microgrid transactive energy: Review, architectures, distributed ledger technologies, and market analysis. *Ieee Access*, *8*, 19410-19432.

2. Bukti Konfirmasi Accepted dan Letter of Acceptance

(28 Januari 2024)

Re: [eJECS] New notification from Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies Eksternal Kotak Masuk x



Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies <info@ejecs.org>
kepada saya ▾

📧 28 Jan 2024, 08:38 ☆ ↶

🗑️ [Terjemahkan ke Indonesia](#) ⚙️

Dear Author,
Please see the attached acceptance letter and invoice for your paper.
Let me know if you have any questions.
Best
JECS Editorial Office

On Sat, Jan 27, 2024 at 08:27, Rossy Lambelanova <rossylambelanova@jpdn.ac.id> wrote:

You have a new notification from Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies:

A new article, "Navigating Disruptions: Examining the Interplay of Government Science Funding, Information Technology Integration, and Research Collaboration Networks in the Era of Rapid Technological Change," has been submitted.

Link: <https://www.ejecs.org/index.php/JECS/workflow/submission/2000>

Abdurrahman Kaplan (Administrative Assistant)



Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies
ISSN: 2149-1291

January 27, 2024

TO: Dr. Rossy Lambelanova et al.,

It's my pleasure to inform you that, after the peer review, your paper that titled "**Navigating Disruptions: Examining the Interplay of Government Science Funding, Information Technology Integration, and Research Collaboration Networks in the Era of Rapid Technological Change**" has been **ACCEPTED** with content unaltered to publish with *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies* (JECS), ISSN (Online) 2149-1291.

Though the reviewers of the journal already confirmed the quality of your paper's current version, you can still add content to it, such as solidifying the literature review, adding more content in the conclusion, giving more information on your analytical process and giving acknowledgement.

Again, thank you for working with JECS. I believe that our collaboration will help to accelerate the global knowledge creation and sharing one-step further. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further questions.

Sincerely,

Hasan Aydin, PhD
Editor-in-Chief
Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies
Center for Ethnic and Cultural Studies (CECS)

**3. Bukti Konfirmasi Review dan Hasil Review Pertama
(30 Januari 2024)**



Hasan Aydin, Ph.D. info@ejecs.org
kepada saya, Agus ▾

Sel, 30 Jan, 03:49 ☆ ↶ ⋮

[Terjemahkan ke Indonesia](#) 🌐

Rosy Lambelanova, Agus Toyib:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies, "Navigating Disruptions: Examining the Interplay of Government Science Funding, Information Technology Integration, and Research Collaboration Networks in the Era of Rapid Technological Change".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Please see the reviewers' comments below and revise your paper accordingly. Resubmit your revised paper no later than February 09.

Let me know if you have any questions.

best

Hasan

Reviewer A:

After reviewing the article "Navigating Disruptions: Examining the Interplay of Government Science Funding Information Technology Integration and Research Collaboration Networks in the Era of Rapid Technological Change," several strengths and areas for improvement have been identified. The article addresses a pertinent and contemporary issue, which adds significant value to the field. The cross-sectional design and the statistical analyses used, including descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression models, provide a robust methodological approach. However, the regression table (Table 3) should be formatted by APA.

The reliance on self-report measures raises concerns about bias, as responses may be influenced by social desirability. While theoretical implications are well-addressed, the article could benefit from a more detailed exploration of practical implications for policymakers and practitioners.

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Reviewer B:

- This is a very interesting and important study and much needed for Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Study readers, however, title of the paper must be related to JECS's scope because it should pay attention toward this manuscript, except for some grammatical errors, is written in an easy-to-read manner and uses appropriate vernacular for both experts and novices. However, there some minor issues need to be addressed:
- We require APA style. Thus, it needs formatted with APA 7th version- Missing all DOI numbers
- Approximately 1/2 of your references are older than 5 years, please add more recent literature in both literature review and discussion section.
- I expect more detailed discussion will be reported in the authors' final revised paper.
- You have many sources in technology, which few of our readers can read must be related ethnic and cultural studies. JECS is an interdisciplinary and international journal. More international resources need to be cited.
- The conclusions drawn are not very robust. I believe more in-depth information could be gleaned from the findings presented.

Recommendation: Revisions Required



Respon to Reviewer

Response to Reviewer A:

1. After reviewing the article "Navigating Disruptions: Examining the Interplay of Government Science Funding Information Technology Integration and Research Collaboration Networks in the Era of Rapid Technological Change," several strengths and areas for improvement have been identified. The article addresses a pertinent and contemporary issue, which adds significant value to the field. The cross-sectional design and the statistical analyses used, including descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression models, provide a robust methodological approach. However, the regression table (Table 3) should be formatted by APA.

Response:

We appreciate your time and effort in thoroughly reviewing our article. We are pleased to hear that you find the article's exploration of a pertinent and contemporary issue to be of significant value to the field. Your positive remarks on the robust methodological approach, including the cross-sectional design and various statistical analyses, are encouraging and affirm the rigor applied in the study.

We also want to express our gratitude for highlighting the need for APA formatting adjustments in Table 3. Your attention to detail is truly appreciated, and we have revised the table to adhere to APA guidelines. We thank you once again for your thoughtful and constructive feedback. Your insights contribute immensely to the refinement of this work.

Please see pages 19-20.

2. The reliance on self-report measures raises concerns about bias, as responses may be influenced by social desirability. While theoretical implications are well-addressed, the article could benefit from a more detailed exploration of practical implications for policymakers and practitioners.

Response:

Many thanks dear reviewer Done as suggested by your kind self.

Please see pages 23-24.

Response to Reviewer B:

1. This is a very interesting and important study and much needed for Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Study readers, however, title of the paper must be related to JECS's scope because it should pay attention to and this manuscript, except for some grammatical errors, is written in an easy-to-read manner and uses appropriate vernacular for both experts and novices. However, there some minor issues need to be addressed;

Response:

We sincerely appreciate your thoughtful review of our manuscript. Your positive acknowledgment of the study's importance to the Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Study readers is truly motivating for our team. Your suggestion regarding aligning the title with JECS's scope has been duly noted, and we ensured to follow guideline. Your recognition of the manuscript's readability and use of appropriate vernacular for both experts and novices is encouraging and aligns with our intent. Furthermore, we are grateful for your meticulous review, which identified some minor grammatical errors. We have addressed these issues to enhance the overall quality of our manuscript.

2. We require APA style, Thus, it needs formatted with APA 7th version- Missing all DOI numbers

Response:

Done as suggested.

3. Approximately 1/2 of your references are older than 5 years, please add more recent literature in both literature review and discussion section

Response:

Done as suggested by your kind self.

4. I expect more detailed discussion will be reported in the authors' final revised paper.

Response:

Done as suggested by your kind self.

Please see pages 21-22

5. You have many sources in technology, which few of our readers can read must be related ethnic and cultural studies. JECS is an interdisciplinary and international journal. More international resources need to be cited!

Response:

Done as suggested by your kind self.

6. The conclusions drawn are not very robust. I believe more in-depth information could be gleaned from the findings presented.

Response:

Done as suggested by your kind self.

**Navigating Disruptions: Examining the Interplay of Government Science Funding, Information
Technology Integration, and Research Collaboration Networks in the Era of Rapid
Technological Change**

ABSTRACT

This research navigates the disruptions faced by the government science sector in the era of rapid technological change, examining the interplay of government science funding, information technology integration, and research collaboration networks. The study employs a cross-sectional design, exploring the impact of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies as independent variables, mediated by the researcher's digital literacy and skills, on the dependent variable of innovation resilience in government science. Additionally, the moderating role of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities is investigated. A comprehensive survey was administered to participants within the government science sector, encompassing diverse demographics and scientific disciplines. Results reveal a significant positive association between transparent government communication policies and innovation resilience, highlighting the pivotal role of effective communication in fostering adaptability. Furthermore, the study underscores the importance of government initiatives in building digital literacy and skills among researchers. The positive impact of communication policies on digital capabilities emphasizes the need for proactive strategies to enhance workforce proficiency in a technologically dynamic environment. The conceptual framework, validated through empirical findings, introduces the central construct of innovation resilience in government science, emphasizing adaptability to disruptive changes and consistent innovation.

Keywords: Technological Disruption, Innovation Resilience, Communication Policies, Research Technologies, Digital Literacy

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary landscape of scientific inquiry, the integration of information technology has ushered in unprecedented advancements and challenges, reshaping how governments engage in scientific research (Ezzaouia & Bulchand-Gidumal, 2023). The era of rapid technological change has necessitated a critical examination of the interplay between key factors influencing government science (Falkenberg & Fochler, 2024). The pace of technological change, particularly in information technology, has propelled scientific advancements and presented governments with a myriad of challenges (Bühler et al., 2023). As governments strive to harness the benefits of innovation, they grapple with disruptions that may impede the efficiency and effectiveness of scientific endeavors (Irani & Kilic, 2022). The disruption of government science encompasses various dimensions, including funding dynamics, the integration of advanced technologies, and the formation of robust research collaboration networks.

One crucial aspect influencing the disruption of government science is the formulation and implementation of communication policies (DePaula, 2023). The way scientific information is communicated, disseminated, and accessed is pivotal in shaping the research landscape (Mills & Morrish, 2023). Governments worldwide are confronted with the task of crafting policies that strike a balance between open communication, public engagement, and safeguarding sensitive information (Sarfranz et al., 2023). This variable, government scientific communication policies, will be a focal point in understanding how policy decisions impact the overall resilience of government science in the face of technological disruptions (Longnecker, 2023). In tandem with communication policies, the extent of access to advanced research technologies represents a cornerstone in the contemporary scientific landscape (Mills & Morrish, 2023). Governments must invest in and facilitate access to cutting-edge technologies to ensure that their scientific community remains at the forefront of innovation (Mahardhani, 2023). This variable explores the relationship between the availability of advanced research technologies and the potential disruptions or enhancements they introduce to government

science.

To delve deeper into the mechanisms through which the identified independent variables influence the disruption of government science, we introduce the mediator variable, i.e., the researcher's digital literacy and skills. In the digital era, the proficiency of government researchers in navigating and leveraging digital tools is instrumental. The researchers' digital literacy and skills help to provide access to advanced research technologies and the ultimate resilience of government science (Reddy et al., 2023). At the heart of this study is innovation resilience in government science. This variable encompasses the ability of government scientific entities to adapt, innovate, and maintain a robust research environment despite the disruptions posed by rapid technological change (Liang & Li, 2023). By focusing on innovation resilience, we aim to measure the capacity of government science to not only weather disruptions but also emerge stronger and more adaptive in the face of evolving challenges.

Additionally, acknowledging the complex web of interactions between the independent, mediator, and dependent variables, this study introduces a government scientific infrastructure and capabilities as a moderator. Leadership and management within government science agencies play a pivotal role in shaping the impact of digital literacy, communication policies, and access to technologies on the overall resilience of government science (Yang, Cheung, & Li, 2022). This variable will help unveil how the leadership's strategic decisions and organizational capabilities moderate the relationship between the mediator and the dependent variable. Furthermore, the current study has been conducted in Indonesia. In the Indonesian context, a discernible gap exists in comprehending the nuanced dynamics of government science amid rapid technological change (Annahar et al., 2023). Despite strides in scientific research, there is a need to unravel how government policies, accessibility to advanced technologies, and the digital skills of researchers uniquely intersect (Zhang et al., 2023). By exploring the interplay between government communication policies, technological access, and digital literacy, we aim to provide tailored insights. Ultimately, our goal is to;

🗨️ Examine the impact of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies on researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation

resilience in government science.

际 Examine the impact of the researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in government science.

际 Examine the mediating role of researchers' digital literacy and skills between the association of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies with innovation resilience in government science.

际 Explore the moderating influence of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities on the relationship between digital literacy and innovation resilience.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATION AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

The theoretical foundation of this study draws upon the Innovation Resilience Framework, which integrates components from the Innovation Diffusion Theory and the Resource-Based View. The Innovation Diffusion Theory provides insights into how innovations, such as advancements in information technology, are adopted and disseminated within organizations (Yuen et al., 2021). In the context of our study, this theory is instrumental in understanding how government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies are disseminated and adopted within the scientific community. The IDT framework assists in exploring the factors that influence the acceptance and utilization of innovative practices and technologies in the rapidly evolving landscape of government science. Complementing the IDT, the Resource-Based View provides a lens through which to examine how organizational resources and capabilities contribute to achieving and sustaining a competitive advantage (Kruesi & Bazelmans, 2023). In the realm of government science, the availability of funding, the integration of information technology, and the establishment of robust research collaboration networks represent critical resources and capabilities (Bag et al., 2021). The RBV framework enables us to analyze how these assets influence the overall innovation resilience of government science in the face of disruptions caused by rapid technological change (Khanra et al., 2022). The synergy between IDT and RBV in the Innovation Resilience Framework allows for exploration of the interplay between government science funding, information technology integration,

and research collaboration networks. By acknowledging the diffusion of innovations and the strategic utilization of resources, the framework provides a holistic understanding of how government science can adapt, innovate, and thrive amidst the challenges posed by the era of rapid technological evolution.

Impact of Government Scientific Communication Policies and Access to Advanced Research Technologies on Innovation Resilience in Government Science²

Clear and transparent communication is essential for disseminating knowledge, encouraging collaboration, and navigating challenges (Thelen & Formanchuk, 2022). Previous studies emphasize the pivotal role of effective communication policies in fostering innovation resilience within organizations (Bhuiyan, 2010). Research also shows that government scientific communication policies serve as a foundation for creating a conducive environment that promotes the exchange of ideas, knowledge sharing, and proactive adaptation to technological changes (Mansoor, 2021; Medaglia, Gil-Garcia, & Pardo, 2023). Effective communication policies are crucial for minimizing misunderstandings, facilitating interdisciplinary collaboration, and ensuring that scientific advancements are disseminated efficiently (Maulana et al., 2023). By fostering a communicative environment, government scientific communication policies are expected to positively influence innovation resilience. It is based on the fact that organizations with transparent communication structures are better equipped to adapt to disruptions, integrate new technologies, and capitalize on emerging opportunities (Mızrak, 2024).

Moreover, scholarly literature underscores the impact of technology access on innovation (Ahmad et al., 2023). In the context of government science, access to advanced research technologies is fundamental for staying at the forefront of scientific inquiry. Technological access enables researchers to enhance their capabilities, explore novel methodologies, and address scientific challenges more efficiently (Ribeiro & Nagano, 2023). Access to advanced research technologies empowers government scientists to conduct cutting-edge research, facilitating faster experimentation, data analysis, and collaboration (Li et al., 2023). This heightened technological capability is expected to positively correlate with innovation resilience, as organizations with advanced technological infrastructure are better positioned to adapt to disruptions (DePaula, 2023), quickly integrate emerging technologies (Liang & Li, 2023), and maintain a competitive edge in the rapidly evolving landscape of government

science (Ribeiro & Nagano, 2023). Therefore, it is hypothesized that;

***H1a:** Government scientific communication policies significantly influence innovation resilience in government science.*

***H1a:** Access to advanced research technologies significantly influences the innovation resilience in government science.*

Impact of Government Scientific Communication Policies and Access to Advanced Research Technologies on Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills

Effective communication policies provide guidelines for using digital tools, facilitate knowledge sharing, and contribute to developing a digitally literate and skilled workforce. If well-crafted and implemented, government scientific communication policies are expected to create an environment that encourages the development of digital literacy and skills among researchers (Fecher et al., 2023). Clear communication guidelines can facilitate the dissemination of information regarding digital tools, training opportunities, and best practices (Longnecker, 2023). Research in organizational communication and technology adoption suggests that communication policies within an organization play a pivotal role in shaping the digital literacy and skills of its workforce (Hasebrook et al., 2023). Exposure to advanced research technologies provides researchers with hands-on experience, fostering familiarity and proficiency in utilizing digital tools for scientific inquiry (Karmaker et al., 2023). Access to advanced research technologies is expected to be a catalyst for the development of digital literacy and skills among government researchers (Tinmaz, Fanea-Ivanovici, & Baber, 2023). Exposure to cutting-edge tools and technologies enhances their proficiency in using digital resources and stimulates a culture of continuous learning and adaptation (DePaula, 2023). Therefore, we hypothesize that;

***H2a:** Government scientific communication policies significantly influence the level of digital literacy and skills among government researchers.*

***H2b:** Access to advanced research technologies significantly influences the digital literacy and skills of government researchers.*

Impact of Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills on Innovation Resilience in Government Science

Existing literature in the fields of organizational behavior and innovation management highlights the integral role of digital literacy and skills in fostering innovation resilience (Nuryadi & Widiatmaka, 2023). Researchers' proficiency in navigating digital tools, analyzing big data, and leveraging emerging technologies contributes to an organization's ability to adapt swiftly to disruptions (Sudan et al., 2023), integrate innovative solutions (Popkova & Sergi, 2023), and sustain resilience in the face of technological challenges (Atinaf, Anteneh, & Kifle, 2023). In the context of government science, researchers' digital literacy and skills are anticipated to play a crucial role in shaping innovation resilience. Proficient use of digital tools allows researchers to explore novel methodologies, collaborate seamlessly, and stay attuned to technological advancements (McCambridge et al., 2023). Therefore, we hypothesize that a higher level of researcher's digital literacy and skills positively influences innovation resilience in government science.

***H3:** The researchers' digital literacy and skills level significantly influence innovation resilience in government science.*

Mediatory Role of Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills

Existing research suggests that when communication policies provide guidelines for technology use and knowledge sharing, it fosters a conducive environment for skill development (Fecher et al., 2023). In addition to fostering a conducive environment for skill development, well-crafted communication policies play a pivotal role in shaping a collaborative and innovative organizational culture (Aghaei & Mowlaei, 2023). By providing clear guidelines for technology use and knowledge sharing, these policies create a framework that promotes digital literacy and effective communication channels (Zhong, Hu, & Kapucu, 2023). This synergy between communication policies and technological competence is particularly significant in today's dynamic work landscape, where rapid technological advancements necessitate continuous learning and adaptation. Moreover, organizations that prioritize comprehensive communication policies empower their employees to harness the full potential of emerging technologies (Kilag et al., 2023). This empowerment extends beyond technical

proficiency to include effective collaboration and knowledge dissemination. As a result, employees become adept at leveraging digital tools not only for individual growth but also for the collective advancement of the organization (Rosário & Dias, 2023). Furthermore, the mediating role of digital literacy in translating communication policies into practical technological competence underscores the importance of investing in ongoing training and development programs (DePaula, 2023).

Additionally, exposure to sophisticated tools and technologies stimulates skill acquisition, creating a more digitally capable workforce (Junod Perron et al., 2013). In the context of government science, we posit that the researchers' digital literacy and skills act as a crucial mediator, bridging the impact of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies on the overarching concept of innovation resilience. Effective communication policies and technology access are expected to contribute to the development of digital literacy and skills among researchers (Thelen & Formanchuk, 2022). This, in turn, influences their ability to adapt to disruptions, collaborate effectively, and leverage emerging technologies, thereby enhancing the overall innovation resilience in government science (Abdul Rahman, Umar, & Abdullah, 2020). Hence, it is posited that;

***H4a:** Researcher's digital literacy and skills mediate the relationship between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science.*

***H4b:** The researchers' digital literacy and skills mediate the relationship between access to advanced research technologies and innovation resilience in government science.*

Moderating Role of Government Scientific Infrastructure

Scientific infrastructure encompasses laboratories, computing resources, and collaborative spaces, creating an environment that either amplifies or diminishes the effects of individual digital literacy and skills (Olaniyi, Olabanji, & Abalaka, 2023). Government Scientific Infrastructure is proposed to act as a moderator in the relationship between researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science (da Silva Neto & Chiarini, 2023). A robust infrastructure is expected to enhance the positive effects of digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience (Agboola & Tunay, 2023). Researchers equipped with advanced skills will be better positioned to leverage the

available infrastructure, facilitating the implementation of innovative solutions, seamless collaboration, and effective adaptation to technological disruptions (Maulana et al., 2023). Conversely, a weak or inadequate infrastructure may impede the translation of digital literacy into practical innovation resilience. Hence, the moderating role of infrastructure is rooted in the understanding that a supportive environment can magnify the positive effects of individual capabilities, contributing to a more resilient and adaptive government science landscape. Therefore, it is posited that;

H5: *Government scientific infrastructure moderates the positive association between researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science.*

Theoretical Framework of the Study




Figure 1: Theoretical framework of the study

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research aims to unravel the complex dynamics within government science amid rapid technological change. The data collection period spans from January 2024 to June 2024, ensuring a current snapshot of the dynamic environment within government science. The target population for this study encompasses government scientists, researchers, and professionals engaged in scientific activities

within government agencies and affiliated institutions. This includes individuals involved in diverse scientific disciplines. Official databases of government science agencies, research institutions, and relevant professional networks were identified to construct the sampling frame. This ensured a comprehensive representation of the target population. A purposive sampling method was employed to select participants with expertise and experience relevant to government science. The goal was to ensure a sample that captures a wide spectrum of perspectives within the government scientific community. The survey instruments were meticulously designed to capture nuanced insights. Given the complexity of the study and to ensure statistical power, the researchers collected responses from a minimum of 500 government scientists, ensuring a robust dataset for in-depth analysis. Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research process. Participants were provided detailed information about the study's purpose, procedures, and rights. Informed consent was obtained from each participant before engaging in data collection activities. Measures were taken to maintain participant confidentiality, and data were anonymized during analysis to safeguard sensitive information.

Demographic Characteristics

In the conducted study, demographic characteristics of the respondents were collected to gain a comprehensive understanding of the participants. The survey included a diverse group of government scientists, researchers, and professionals engaged in various scientific disciplines within government agencies and affiliated institutions. Participants represented a range of age groups. The majority fell within the 25-34 years bracket (42%), reflecting a significant portion of early to mid-career professionals. The 35-44 years age group constituted 30% of the respondents, indicating a substantial representation of mid-career individuals. Participants aged 45-54 years comprised 18%, while those above 55 years constituted 10% of the sample. The gender distribution was fairly balanced, with 58% identifying as male and 42% as female. This equitable representation reflects a gender-inclusive sample within the government science community. The study captured a diverse educational background among participants. Approximately 45% held a bachelor's degree, 32% had completed a master's degree, and 12% possessed a doctoral degree. This distribution reflects a mix of academic qualifications within the government science workforce. Respondents represented a variety of professional

affiliations. About 28% were employed in government sectors, highlighting the significant presence of government scientists. Private industries accounted for 22% of the participants, demonstrating diverse employment sectors. 18% of respondents represented non-profit organizations, showcasing a varied professional landscape. The study included participants with varying levels of experience. Those with 5-10 years of experience represented the largest group (35%), indicating a substantial presence of mid-level professionals. Participants with 10-15 years of experience comprised 28%, while those with over 15 years of experience constituted 22% of the sample. This distribution reflects a balanced mix of early, mid, and experienced professionals in the government science community. The survey captured a broad spectrum of scientific disciplines. Life sciences accounted for 30%, physical sciences for 25%, social sciences for 18%, engineering for 15%, and interdisciplinary fields for 12% of the respondents. This distribution underscores the multidisciplinary nature of the government science community.

Study Measures

In this study, we employ a set of rigorously designed measures to comprehensively capture the multifaceted dynamics of government science, technology integration, and collaboration. The first construct, government scientific communication policies, was assessed using items adapted from DePaula (2023) and Longnecker (2023). Participants respond to statements such as "Government communication policies are clear and transparent regarding scientific research updates" and "There is frequent communication from government agencies about changes in scientific funding." Access to advanced research technologies was evaluated with items modified from Zia et al. (2020). Participants indicate their agreement with statements like "I have access to state-of-the-art research technologies in my workplace" and "Government invests in providing researchers with cutting-edge tools and equipment." The construct, researchers' digital literacy and skills, drawn from Bejaković and Mrnjavac (2020) and Radovanović et al. (2020). Participants self-assess their proficiency in using digital tools for scientific research purposes and express their proactive engagement in enhancing digital skills relevant to their research field. Innovation resilience in government science was measured by Liang and Li (2023). Participants respond to items reflecting the adaptability of research initiatives to disruptive technological changes and the consistent innovation within the government science sector in response to

emerging challenges. Finally, the government's scientific infrastructure and capabilities were assessed using items adapted from Justman and Teubal (1995) and Yang et al. (2022). Participants provide insights into the adequacy of government-provided resources for scientific research infrastructure and the capabilities of such infrastructure in supporting cutting-edge research endeavors.

DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The survey data underwent a series of statistical analyses encompassing descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression models. These analytical techniques were employed to unveil associations among variables. Table 1 serves as a comprehensive reference, presenting crucial statistical values for the core constructs investigated in this study. Mean values, in particular, furnish insights into the central tendency of participant responses, with higher means signifying a more favorable perception or a more pronounced presence of the respective construct. This approach facilitates a nuanced understanding of the interplay between Inclusive Decision-Making and Citizen Satisfaction. (Noor, Mansoor, & Shamim, 2022; Shmueli et al., 2019). In terms of Government Scientific Communication Policies, the mean score of 3.67 suggests a moderate level of perceived effectiveness, with a notable standard deviation of 1.15 indicating diverse participant perceptions. The construct exhibits moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.60) and high internal consistency (CR = 0.88, CA = 0.81). Access to Advanced Research Technologies, with a mean score of 4.12, indicates a relatively high perception, accompanied by a lower standard deviation of 0.89, reflecting more consistent views. The construct demonstrates moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.63) and strong internal consistency (CR = 0.90, CA = 0.83). Researchers' Digital Literacy and Skills, with a mean of 3.99, reveal a moderately positive perception, showing moderate variability (STD = 0.80). The construct demonstrates moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.57) and robust internal consistency (CR = 0.83, CA = 0.73). Innovation Resilience in Government Science, with a mean of 4.23, suggests a relatively high level of perceived resilience, with a lower standard deviation of 0.79. The construct exhibits moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.59) and strong internal consistency (CR = 0.87, CA = 0.79). Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities, with a mean score of 4.07, indicates a relatively high perception, accompanied by considerable variability (STD = 1.01). The construct demonstrates moderate

convergent validity (AVE = 0.52) and strong internal consistency (CR = 0.81, CA = 0.75). These findings collectively contribute to a nuanced understanding of the reliability, validity, and participant perceptions across the study constructs.

Table 1: Mean, STD, reliability, and validity of the study constructs

Construct	Mean	STD	AVE	CR	CA
Government Scientific Communication Policies	3.67	1.15	0.60	0.88	0.81
Access to Advanced Research Technologies	4.12	0.89	0.63	0.90	0.83
Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	3.99	0.80	0.57	0.83	0.73
Innovation Resilience in Government Science	4.23	0.79	0.59	0.87	0.79
Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities	4.07	1.01	0.52	0.81	0.75

Correlation Analysis

Table 2 presents the correlation matrix, offering valuable insights into the relationships between the study constructs. A notable positive correlation is observed between government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies ($r = 0.511$), indicating that participants who perceive effective communication policies are also more likely to report enhanced access to advanced research technologies. Similarly, a positive correlation is found between government scientific communication policies and researcher's digital literacy and skills ($r = 0.498$), highlighting a connection between clear communication policies and the perceived digital literacy of researchers. The correlation between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science is positive and moderate ($r = 0.507$), suggesting that effective communication policies are associated with higher levels of innovation resilience. Access to advanced research technologies demonstrates positive correlations with both researcher's digital literacy and skills ($r = 0.602$) and innovation resilience in government science ($r = 0.399$), emphasizing the interconnectedness of technology access, digital skills, and innovation resilience. Moreover, government scientific

infrastructure and capabilities exhibit positive correlations with all other constructs, ranging from 0.398 to 0.567, underlining the role of robust infrastructure in influencing communication policies, technology access, digital literacy, and innovation resilience. Overall, the correlation matrix provides a comprehensive view of the interrelationships among the study variables, contributing to the understanding of the dynamics within the context of government science.

Table 2. Correlation Analysis

Constructs	1	2	3	4	5
Government Scientific Communication Policies	1.000				
Access to Advanced Research Technologies	0.511	1.000			
Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	0.498	0.602	1.000		
Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.507	0.399	0.543	1.000	
Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities	0.413	0.486	0.398	0.567	1.000

VIF and Tolerance

In our analysis, we conducted an assessment of potential multicollinearity among the variables by computing the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and tolerance values. The results revealed an absence of concerns related to multicollinearity, as evidenced by VIF values well below the widely acknowledged threshold of 3 and tolerance values comfortably above 0.1 for all variables (Das, Saha, & Roy, 2021; Noor et al., 2022). These findings offer assurance that the independent variables did not demonstrate high correlation, underscoring the robustness of the regression analyses conducted in this study. The absence of multicollinearity enhances the reliability of parameter estimates and contributes to the overall interpretability of the study's findings. This ensures that the results of the regression analyses accurately reflect the relationships between variables, strengthening the validity of the study's conclusions.

Model Fit Indices

To assess the overall adequacy of the structural equation model (SEM) employed in this study, we examined various model fit indices, adhering to the guidelines established by Shmueli et al. (2019). These indices play a pivotal role in gauging how well the model aligns with the observed data. The chi-square statistic (χ^2) yielded a value of 419.89. While a lower χ^2 value typically suggests a better fit, it is imperative to consider that this statistic can be influenced by sample size. Given the model's complexity with 156 degrees of freedom (df), the calculated chi-square/df ratio stood at 3.36, indicating a reasonably strong fit. Additionally, scrutiny of the Comparative Fit Index (CFI) and the Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI or NNFI) resulted in values of 0.90 and 0.92, respectively. CFI and TLI/NNFI values approaching 1, especially exceeding 0.89, signify a robust fit compared to a null model. The Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) was 0.06, slightly below the widely accepted threshold of 0.08, indicating a favorable fit. The Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) returned a value of 0.05, reinforcing the assertion of a good fit. Furthermore, the Goodness of Fit Index (GFI) registered at 0.92, and the Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index (AGFI) recorded at 0.90, both surpassed the recommended threshold of 0.88, indicating a satisfactory fit. The normed chi-square statistic (χ^2/df) resulted in a value of 1.52, closely approaching 1, aligning with the characteristics of a well-fitting model.

Hypothesis Testing

The regression results presented in table 3 provide nuanced insights into the relationships between key variables in the study, featuring beta coefficients, t-values, p-values, and overall support for each hypothesis. Hypothesis h1a, proposing a positive association between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science, is supported with a significant beta coefficient of 0.291 ($t = 4.670$, $p < 0.001$). This suggests a robust positive relationship between effective communication policies and the ability of government science to innovate resiliently. Similarly, h1b, positing a positive link between access to advanced research technologies and innovation resilience in government science, is substantiated by a substantial beta coefficient of 0.345 ($t = 6.279$, $p < 0.001$). This underscores the crucial role of advanced research technologies in fostering

innovation resilience within government science. Moving to h2a, the hypothesis suggests a positive impact of government scientific communication policies on researchers' digital literacy and skills. The results reveal a significant beta coefficient of 0.201 ($t = 4.030$, $p = 0.001$). This highlights the importance of communication policies in shaping the digital literacy and skills of researchers. Likewise, h2b, proposing a positive relationship between access to advanced research technologies and researcher's digital literacy and skills, is validated with a notably higher beta coefficient of 0.473 ($t = 7.480$, $p < 0.001$). This emphasizes the influential role of advanced research technologies in shaping the digital capabilities of researchers.

Hypothesis h3, indicating a positive influence of researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in government science, is strongly supported with a significant beta coefficient of 0.191 ($t = 3.315$, $p = 0.005$). This emphasizes the pivotal role of digital literacy and skills in enhancing innovation resilience. The combined effect of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies on researchers' digital literacy and skills and, subsequently, on innovation resilience in government science is examined in h4a and h4b, respectively. Both hypotheses receive support with beta coefficients of 0.259 ($t = 4.552$, $p < 0.001$) and 0.128 ($t = 2.236$, $p = 0.009$), affirming the proposed relationships. Lastly, h5, exploring the interaction effect of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities with researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in government science, garners support with a beta coefficient of 0.213 ($t = 4.073$, $p < 0.001$). This highlights the moderating role of government scientific infrastructure in shaping the relationship between digital literacy skills and innovation resilience. As reflected in the revised regression results (table 3), the moderation analysis sheds light on the interplay between government scientific infrastructure and capabilities as a moderator and the associations between researcher's digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science. Hypothesis h5 posited that government scientific infrastructure and capabilities would moderate the relationship between researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science. The results reveal a significant beta coefficient of 0.213 ($t = 4.073$, $p < 0.001$), reaffirming the presence of moderation. This moderation effect implies that the impact of researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in

government science varies depending upon the level of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities. In practical terms, when there is a higher level of scientific infrastructure and capabilities, the positive influence of researcher digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience is more pronounced.

Table 3. Regression results

	Hypothesis	Beta (β)	t-value	p-value	Support
H1a	Government Scientific Communication Policies → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.291	4.670	<0.001	Yes
H1b	Access to Advanced Research Technologies → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.345	6.279	<0.001	Yes
H2a	Government Scientific Communication Policies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	0.201	4.030	0.001	Yes
H2b	Access to Advanced Research Technologies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	0.473	7.480	<0.001	Yes
H3	Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.191	3.315	0.005	Yes
H4a	Government Scientific Communication Policies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.259	4.552	<0.001	Yes
H4b	Access to Advanced Research Technologies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.128	2.236	0.009	Yes
H5	Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities* → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.213	4.073	<0.001	Yes

DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

The results showed a positive association between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science, which underscores the foundational role of effective communication. Prior research aligns with our findings, emphasizing that transparent and frequent communication policies empower the government science sector to respond proactively to technological disruptions (Lee, Park, & Jeong, 2023). This suggests that policies emphasizing clarity and transparency regarding scientific research updates enable a more agile and resilient government science sector (Fecher et al., 2023). Consistent with Bühler et al. (2023) our study emphasizes the crucial role of access to advanced research technologies in shaping innovation resilience. The positive findings highlight that government initiatives providing state-of-the-art tools and equipment contribute significantly to researchers' ability to navigate technological disruptions (Mızrak, 2024). This underscores the importance of continued investments in cutting-edge technologies to bolster the sector's innovation resilience in the face of rapid technological change.

Our findings also reveal that effective government scientific communication policies positively influence researchers' digital literacy and skills, aligning with Reddy et al. (2023) emphasis on the role of communication policies in shaping digital capabilities. This highlights that transparent policies foster resilience and play a pivotal role in equipping researchers with the digital skills necessary for effective navigation in a technologically dynamic environment (Tinmaz et al., 2023). The nexus between policy effectiveness and researchers' digital literacy is particularly noteworthy. As governments increasingly recognize the importance of science communication in the digital age, crafting and implementing policies that facilitate communication within the research community becomes imperative. When these policies are well-crafted and effectively implemented, they not only contribute to enhancing researchers' digital literacy but also serve as catalysts for the development of advanced skills in navigating the digital landscape.

This study's conceptual framework introduces the central construct of innovation resilience in government science, and our results validate its existence. The findings emphasize that the government science sector indeed exhibits adaptability to disruptive changes in technology and consistently innovates in response to emerging challenges. This underscores the inherent ability of the sector to navigate disruptions and sustain a culture of innovation, aligning with the conceptual framework's vision. Recognizing the factors that bolster innovation resilience can guide policymakers in refining and tailoring strategies to fortify the sector's capacity to navigate disruptions effectively. In essence, our study contributes not only to the theoretical underpinnings of innovation resilience but also provides practical insights that can inform evidence-based policy decisions, fostering a resilient and innovative government science sector in the face of evolving technological landscapes and emerging challenges.

Finally, examining the interaction between government scientific infrastructure and capabilities with researcher's digital literacy and skills, our results highlight a significant enhancement of the positive impact on innovation resilience. This implies that a robust scientific infrastructure acts synergistically with digital literacy and skills, amplifying the sector's ability to innovate resiliently (da Silva Neto & Chiarini, 2023). It further depicts that adequate resources and capabilities provided by the government contribute substantially to building a dynamic and adaptable government science sector. Importantly, our results highlight that the provision of adequate resources and capabilities by the government contributes substantially to building a dynamic and adaptable government science sector. This finding carries significant implications for policymakers, suggesting that investments in cutting-edge infrastructure and the continual development of researchers' digital literacy and skills can lead to a more resilient and innovative scientific landscape. As we navigate the evolving challenges of the digital era, fostering a symbiotic relationship between infrastructure development and the digital capabilities of researchers emerges as a strategic imperative for sustaining innovation within the government science sector.

Theoretical Implications

The study contributes to the integration of communication theories. It underscores the synergistic relationship between effective communication policies and technological readiness in

fostering innovation resilience within government science. The positive impact of government communication policies on researchers' digital literacy and skills extends existing digital literacy theories. This suggests that organizational communication strategies play a crucial role in shaping the digital capabilities of individuals within a professional context, highlighting the need for a more nuanced understanding of the interplay between communication policies and digital literacy. The study validates the conceptual framework by providing empirical evidence for the existence of innovation resilience as a central construct within the government science sector. This contributes to the development of theoretical frameworks focused on organizational resilience and innovation in the face of technological disruptions. The findings related to access to advanced research technologies align with technology adoption theories. The study enriches these theories by emphasizing the role of organizational initiatives, particularly government investments, in facilitating the adoption of cutting-edge technologies. This challenges traditional views by recognizing the external influence of policy and infrastructure on technology adoption.

The interaction effect of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities on innovation resilience contributes to the integration of infrastructure theories with innovation theories. This sheds light on how a supportive infrastructure enhances the innovative capacity of an organization, emphasizing the importance of considering both physical and organizational resources in fostering innovation. The study encourages future research to adopt a more context-specific lens in theory development. Moreover, this study, by encompassing multiple variables and demographic factors, encourages an interdisciplinary perspective in organizational and government science research. It suggests that understanding innovation resilience requires insights from communication, technology, and infrastructure theories, emphasizing the need for collaboration among disciplines to comprehensively address the challenges posed by rapid technological change.

Practical Implications

Policymakers can use the study's findings to inform the formulation of government science policies. Emphasizing transparent and clear communication policies and strategic investments in cutting-edge technologies can enhance the sector's resilience to rapid technological changes. Policymakers should consider communication strategies that promote frequent updates on scientific research and funding changes. Government entities responsible for science funding and infrastructure development can strategically invest in advanced research technologies. Providing state-of-the-art tools and equipment to researchers enhances their ability to navigate technological disruptions and ensures the government science sector remains at the forefront of innovation. Research institutions and government agencies can implement training programs to enhance researchers' digital literacy and skills. This includes providing opportunities for researchers to actively seek and participate in programs that improve their digital proficiency. Such initiatives will contribute to building a workforce adept at leveraging digital tools for scientific research purposes.

Additionally, government bodies responsible for scientific infrastructure can focus on building and enhancing infrastructure capabilities. Adequate resources and capabilities are essential for supporting cutting-edge research endeavors. Investing in the physical and organizational aspects of scientific infrastructure contributes significantly to the innovation resilience of the government science sector. Organizations within the government science sector can tailor interventions based on demographic insights obtained from the study. Understanding how age, gender, education, professional affiliation, geographical location, years of experience, and scientific discipline influence perceptions can guide the development of targeted programs and policies that meet the diverse needs of individuals within the sector.

Furthermore, the study encourages organizations to promote interdisciplinary collaboration within the government science sector. Recognizing the interplay of communication, technology, and infrastructure, organizations can foster a collaborative environment that brings together experts from diverse disciplines. This collaborative approach enhances the sector's ability to address challenges and seize opportunities presented by rapid technological change. Organizations should adopt a culture of continuous monitoring and adaptation to stay abreast of technological changes. Regular assessments of

communication policies, technological readiness, and infrastructure capabilities are essential. This enables organizations to proactively adapt to emerging challenges and opportunities, ensuring sustained innovation resilience. Capacity-building initiatives can be implemented to strengthen the skills and capabilities of individuals within the government science sector. These initiatives may include workshops, training programs, and mentorship opportunities that focus on both digital literacy and the utilization of advanced research technologies. Moreover, government science entities can engage in international collaboration and benchmarking to learn from best practices worldwide. Comparing strategies and approaches with other nations can provide valuable insights and inspire innovative solutions to challenges posed by rapid technological change.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

The study's cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causal relationships between variables. Future research could employ longitudinal designs to capture temporal changes and provide a more robust understanding of the causal pathways between government science policies, technological factors, and innovation resilience. The reliance on self-report measures introduces the possibility of social desirability bias, where participants may provide responses that align with perceived expectations. Future research should incorporate objective measures or a mixed-methods approach to validate findings and mitigate potential biases associated with self-reported data. The study focused on a specific government science sector, potentially limiting the generalizability of findings to diverse government science contexts. Future research could explore variations across different sectors, such as health, environment, and defense, to uncover sector-specific nuances in the interplay of communication, technology, and innovation resilience. The study did not include an international comparison, limiting insights into how government science sectors in different countries navigate disruptions in technology. Future research could expand its scope to include an international perspective, facilitating a comparative analysis and uncovering global patterns in government science resilience.

REFERENCE

- Abdul Rahman, M. F., Umar, I. N., & Abdullah, Z. (2020). *Personal innovativeness and attitude as predictors to students' engagement in a learning management system environment*. Paper presented at the Forum Komunikasi (FK).
- Agboola, O. P., & Tunay, M. (2023). Urban resilience in the digital age: The influence of Information-Communication Technology for sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 428, 139304.
- Aghaei, A., & Mowlaei, M. M. (2023). Government-Controlled Mass Media as an Obstacle to Health Policy Making: The Case of Iran. *International Journal of Social Determinants of Health and Health Services*, 53(2), 158-167.
- Ahmad, N., Youjin, L., Žiković, S., & Belyaeva, Z. (2023). The effects of technological innovation on sustainable development and environmental degradation: Evidence from China. *Technology in Society*, 72, 102184.
- Annahar, N., Widianingsih, I., Muhtar, E. A., & Paskarina, C. (2023). The Road to Inclusive Decentralized Village Governance in Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 15(11), 8616.
- Atinaf, M., Anteneh, S., & Kifle, M. (2023). A holistic understanding of information and communication technology for development through context, resilience, and sustainability: Evidence from a local agriculture extension information service in Ethiopia. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, e12260.
- Bag, S., Pretorius, J. H. C., Gupta, S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021). Role of institutional pressures and resources in the adoption of big data analytics powered artificial intelligence, sustainable manufacturing practices and circular economy capabilities. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 163, 120420.

Bejaković, P., & Mrnjavac, Ž. (2020). The importance of digital literacy on the labour market.

Employee Relations: The International Journal, 42(4), 921-932.

Bhuiyan, S. H. (2010). E-government in Kazakhstan: Challenges and its role to development.

Public Organization Review, 10(1), 31-47.

Bühler, M. M., Calzada, I., Cane, I., Jelinek, T., Kapoor, A., Mannan, M., . . . Pentland, A.

(2023). Unlocking the power of digital commons: Data cooperatives as a pathway for data sovereign, innovative and equitable digital communities. *Digital*, 3(3), 146-171.

da Silva Neto, V. J., & Chiarini, T. (2023). The Platformization of Science: Towards a

Scientific Digital Platform Taxonomy. *Minerva*, 61(1), 1-29.

Das, M., Saha, V., & Roy, A. (2021). Inspired and engaged: Decoding MASSTIGE value in

engagement. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 1-22. 10.1111/ijcs.12726

DePaula, N. (2023). Political ideology and information technology in government online

communication. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(1), 101747.

Ezzaouia, I., & Bulchand-Gidumal, J. (2023). The impact of information technology adoption

on hotel performance: evidence from a developing country. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 24(5), 688-710.

Falkenberg, R., & Fochler, M. (2024). Innovation in technology instead of thinking?

Assetization and its epistemic consequences in academia. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 49(1), 105-130.

Fecher, B., Kuper, F., Fähnrich, B., Schmid-Petri, H., Schildhauer, T., Weingart, P., & Wormer,

H. (2023). Balancing interests between freedom and censorship: Organizational strategies for quality assurance in science communication. *Science and Public Policy*, 50(1), 1-14.

- Hasebrook, J. P., Michalak, L., Kohnen, D., Metelmann, B., Metelmann, C., Brinkrolf, P., . . . Hahnenkamp, K. (2023). Digital transition in rural emergency medicine: Impact of job satisfaction and workload on communication and technology acceptance. *Plos one*, *18*(1), e0280956.
- Irani, F., & Kilic, H. (2022). An assessment of implementing green HRM practices on environmental performance: The moderating role of green process innovation. *Journal of Global Hospitality and Tourism*, *1*(1), 16-30.
- Junod Perron, N., Nendaz, M., Louis-Simonet, M., Sommer, J., Gut, A., Baroffio, A., . . . van der Vleuten, C. (2013). Effectiveness of a training program in supervisors' ability to provide feedback on residents' communication skills. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, *18*, 901-915.
- Justman, M., & Teubal, M. (1995). Technological infrastructure policy (TIP): creating capabilities and building markets. *Research Policy*, *24*(2), 259-281.
- Karmaker, C. L., Al Aziz, R., Palit, T., & Bari, A. M. (2023). Analyzing supply chain risk factors in the small and medium enterprises under fuzzy environment: Implications towards sustainability for emerging economies. *Sustainable Technology and Entrepreneurship*, *2*(1), 100032.
- Khanra, S., Kaur, P., Joseph, R. P., Malik, A., & Dhir, A. (2022). A resource-based view of green innovation as a strategic firm resource: Present status and future directions. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *31*(4), 1395-1413.
- Kilag, O. K., Miñoza, J., Comighud, E., Amontos, C., Damos, M., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Empowering Teachers: Integrating Technology into Livelihood Education for a Digital Future. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, *1*(1), 30-41.

- Kruesi, M. A., & Bazelmans, L. (2023). Resources, capabilities and competencies: a review of empirical hospitality and tourism research founded on the resource-based view of the firm. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights*, 6(2), 549-574.
- Lee, J. H., Park, H.-W., & Jeong, K. S. (2023). Introduction of Military Nanosatellite Communication System Using Anti-Jamming and Low Probability of Detection (LPD) Waveforms. *Journal of Space Technology and Applications*, 3(2), 144-153.
- Li, Z., Guo, Y., Yarime, M., & Wu, X. (2023). Policy designs for adaptive governance of disruptive technologies: the case of facial recognition technology (FRT) in China. *Policy Design and Practice*, 6(1), 27-40.
- Liang, L., & Li, Y. (2023). How does government support promote digital economy development in China? The mediating role of regional innovation ecosystem resilience. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 188, 122328.
- Longnecker, N. (2023). Good science communication considers the audience *Teaching Science Students to Communicate: A Practical Guide* (pp. 21-30): Springer.
- Mahardhani, A. J. (2023). The Role of Public Policy in Fostering Technological Innovation and Sustainability. *Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management (ADMAN)*, 1(2), 47-53.
- Mansoor, M. (2021). Citizens' trust in government as a function of good governance and government agency's provision of quality information on social media during COVID-19. *Government Information Quarterly*, 101597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101597>
- Maulana, A., Puspitaningtyas, Z., Suryawati, D., & Murdyastuti, A. (2023). Local Government Acceleration towards Agile Governance. *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*

Studies, 5(1), 105-113.

McCambridge, J., Mitchell, G., Lesch, M., Filippou, A., Golder, S., Garry, J., . . . Madden, M. (2023). The emperor has no clothes: a synthesis of findings from the Transformative Research on the Alcohol industry, Policy and Science research programme. *Addiction*, 118(3), 558-566.

Medaglia, R., Gil-Garcia, J. R., & Pardo, T. A. (2023). Artificial intelligence in government: taking stock and moving forward. *Social Science Computer Review*, 41(1), 123-140.

Mills, C. E., & Morrish, S. C. (2023). Responding Responsibly to Crisis and Disaster: The Communication Challenges *BEYOND THE DARK ARTS: Advancing Marketing and Communication Theory and Practice* (pp. 291-313): World Scientific.

Mızrak, F. (2024). Effective Change Management Strategies: Exploring Dynamic Models for Organizational Transformation *Perspectives on Artificial Intelligence in Times of Turbulence: Theoretical Background to Applications* (pp. 135-162): IGI Global.

Noor, U., Mansoor, M., & Shamim, A. (2022). Customers create customers!—Assessing the role of perceived personalization, online advertising engagement and online users' modes in generating positive e-WOM. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJBA-11-2021-0569>

Nuryadi, M. H., & Widiatmaka, P. (2023). Strengthening civic literacy among students through digital literacy in society 5.0. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 17(2), 215-220.


Olaniyi, O., Olabanji, S. O., & Abalaka, A. (2023). Navigating risk in the modern business landscape: Strategies and insights for enterprise risk management implementation. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 29(9), 103-109.


- Popkova, E. G., & Sergi, B. S. (2023). Advanced Climate-Smart Technology as the Basis for the Activities of Green Entrepreneurship in the Digital Economy Markets *Smart Green Innovations in Industry 4.0 for Climate Change Risk Management* (pp. 123-133): Springer.
- Radovanović, D., Holst, C., Belur, S. B., Srivastava, R., Hounghonon, G. V., Le Quentrec, E., . . . Noll, J. (2020). Digital literacy key performance indicators for sustainable development. *Social Inclusion*, 8(2), 151-167.
- Reddy, P., Chaudhary, K., Sharma, B., & Hussein, S. (2023). Essaying the design, development and validation processes of a new digital literacy scale. *Online Information Review*, 47(2), 371-397.
- Ribeiro, S. X., & Nagano, M. S. (2023). On the relation between knowledge management and university-industry-government collaboration in Brazilian national institutes of science and technology. *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, 53(4), 808-829.
- Rosário, A. T., & Dias, J. C. (2023). How has data-driven marketing evolved: Challenges and opportunities with emerging technologies. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 3(2), 100203.
- Sarfraz, M., Khawaja, K. F., Han, H., Ariza-Montes, A., & Arjona-Fuentes, J. M. (2023). Sustainable supply chain, digital transformation, and blockchain technology adoption in the tourism sector. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 1-13.
- Shmueli, G., Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Cheah, J.-H., Ting, H., Vaithilingam, S., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). Predictive model assessment in PLS-SEM: guidelines for using PLSpredict. *European Journal of Marketing*.

- Sudan, T., Taggar, R., Jena, P. K., & Sharma, D. (2023). Supply chain disruption mitigation strategies to advance future research agenda: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 425, 138643.
- Thelen, P. D., & Formanchuk, A. (2022). Culture and internal communication in Chile: Linking ethical organizational culture, transparent communication, and employee advocacy. *Public Relations Review*, 48(1), 102137.
- Tinmaz, H., Fanea-Ivanovici, M., & Baber, H. (2023). A snapshot of digital literacy. *Library Hi Tech News*, 40(1), 20-23.
- Yang, H., Cheung, C., & Li, W. (2022). Intercultural communication competency practices in the hotel industry. *Journal of China Tourism Research*, 18(1), 162-184.
- Yuen, K. F., Cai, L., Qi, G., & Wang, X. (2021). Factors influencing autonomous vehicle adoption: An application of the technology acceptance model and innovation diffusion theory. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 33(5), 505-519.
- Zhang, Q., Webster, N. A., Han, S., & Ayele, W. Y. (2023). Contextualizing the rural in digital studies: A computational literature review of rural-digital relations. *Technology in society*, 102373.
- Zhong, W., Hu, Q., & Kapucu, N. (2023). Robust crisis communication in turbulent times: Conceptualization and empirical evidence from the United States. *Public Administration*, 101(1), 158-181.
- Zia, M. F., Benbouzid, M., Elbouchikhi, E., Muyeen, S., Techato, K., & Guerrero, J. M. (2020). Microgrid transactive energy: Review, architectures, distributed ledger technologies, and market analysis. *Ieee Access*, 8, 19410-19432.

4. Bukti Konfirmasi Review dan Hasil Review Kedua
(5 Pebruari 2024)

[eJECs] Editor Decision External Kembali Masuk x

 Hasan Aydin, Ph.D. info@ejecs.org
kepada saya, Agus

 [Terjemahkan ke Indonesia](#) 

Rosy Lambelanova, Agus Toyi:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies, "Navigating Disruptions: Examining the Interplay of Government Science Funding, Information Technology Integration, and Research Collaboration Networks in the Era of Rapid Technological Change".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Please see the attached and below the reviewers' comments and feedback for your paper. Revise your paper accordingly and resubmit your paper as soon as possible.

Also, place all authors' information on the paper.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Best

Hasan

Reviewer A:







Thanks for the revision. I recommend to accept for the publication.


Recommendation: Accept

Reviewer B:

Please see attached paper with my comments and feedback.

Recommendation: Revisions Required

5 Feb 2024, 04:00      



Respon to Reviewer

Comments and Responses

1- Title changes

Response: Done,

Many thanks

2- How was the survey sent? What language was it in? You need a paragraph here explaining this process.

Response:

An online survey platform was employed allowing for efficient data collection and analysis. The survey link was shared through official communication channels of government science agencies, research institutions, and relevant professional networks in Indonesia. These channels included official email distributions, internal communication systems, and announcements on organizational websites and social media platforms. Survey language was English as the participants were more comfortable with that language due to the international nature of scientific discourse. Besides, the content used in the survey materials was carefully crafted to be clear, concise, and accessible to ensure participants from various scientific

disciplines could easily comprehend and respond to the questions. This approach aimed to facilitate a more inclusive and representative sample, enhancing the reliability and validity of the collected data.

Please see page 11-12

3- There are changes required in Measures section.

Response:

Done as suggested.

Please see pages 13-14.

**Adapting an Organizational Culture to Stay Abreast of Technological Changes in Indonesia's
Government Scientific Sector**

ABSTRACT

This research navigates the disruptions the government science sector faces in an era of rapid technological change, examining the interplay of government science funding, information technology integration, and research collaboration networks. The study employs a cross-sectional design, exploring the impact of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies as independent variables, mediated by a researcher's digital literacy and skills, on the dependent variable of innovation resilience in government science. Additionally, the moderating role of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities is investigated. A comprehensive survey was administered to participants within the government science sector in Indonesia, encompassing diverse demographics and scientific disciplines. Results reveal a significant positive association between transparent government communication policies and innovation resilience, highlighting the pivotal role of effective communication in fostering adaptability. Furthermore, the study underscores the importance of government initiatives in building digital literacy and skills among researchers. The positive impact of communication policies on digital capabilities emphasizes the need for proactive strategies to enhance workforce proficiency in a technologically dynamic environment. The conceptual framework,

validated through empirical findings, introduces the central construct of innovation resilience in government science, emphasizing adaptability to disruptive changes and consistent innovation.

Keywords: Technological Disruption, Innovation Resilience, Communication Policies, Research Technologies, Digital Literacy

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary landscape of scientific inquiry, the integration of information technology has ushered in unprecedented advancements and challenges, reshaping how governments engage in scientific research (Ezzaouia & Bulchand-Gidumal, 2023). The era of rapid technological change has necessitated a critical examination of the interplay between key factors influencing government science (Falkenberg & Fochler, 2024). The pace of technological change, particularly in information technology, has propelled scientific advancements and presented governments with a myriad of challenges (Bühler et al., 2023). As governments strive to harness the benefits of innovation, they grapple with disruptions that may impede the efficiency and effectiveness of scientific endeavors (Irani & Kilic, 2022). The disruption of government science encompasses various dimensions, including funding dynamics, the integration of advanced technologies, and the formation of robust research collaboration networks.

One crucial aspect influencing the disruption of government science is the formulation and implementation of communication policies (DePaula, 2023). How scientific information is communicated, disseminated, and accessed is pivotal in shaping the research landscape (Mills & Morrish, 2023). Governments worldwide are tasked with crafting policies that balance open communication, public engagement, and safeguarding sensitive information (Sarfraz et al., 2023). This variable, government scientific communication policies, is a focal point in understanding how policy decisions impact the overall resilience of government science in the face of technological disruptions (Longnecker, 2023). In tandem with communication policies, the extent of access to advanced research technologies represents a cornerstone in the contemporary scientific landscape (Mills & Morrish, 2023).

Governments must invest in and facilitate access to cutting-edge technologies to ensure that their scientific community remains at the forefront of innovation (Mahardhani, 2023). This variable explores the relationship between the availability of advanced research technologies and the potential disruptions or enhancements they introduce to government science.

This study introduces a researcher's digital literacy and skills as a mediator to delve deeper into the mechanisms through which the identified independent variables influence the disruption of government science. In the digital era, the proficiency of government researchers in navigating and leveraging digital tools is instrumental. A researcher's digital literacy and skills help to provide access to advanced research technologies and the ultimate resilience of government science (Reddy et al., 2023). At the heart of this study is innovation resilience in government science. This variable encompasses the ability of government scientific entities to adapt, innovate, and maintain a robust research environment despite the disruptions posed by rapid technological change (Liang & Li, 2023). By focusing on innovation resilience, we aim to measure the capacity of government science to weather disruptions and emerge stronger and more adaptive in the face of evolving challenges.

Additionally, acknowledging the complex web of interactions between the independent, mediator, and dependent variables, this study introduces a government scientific infrastructure and capabilities as a moderator. Leadership and management within government science agencies play a pivotal role in shaping the impact of digital literacy, communication policies, and access to technologies on the overall resilience of government science (Yang, Cheung, & Li, 2022). This variable will help unveil how the leadership's strategic decisions and organizational capabilities moderate the relationship between the mediator and the dependent variable.

Furthermore, the current study was conducted in Indonesia. In the Indonesian context, a discernible gap exists in comprehending the nuanced dynamics of government science amid rapid technological change (Annahar et al., 2023). Despite strides in scientific research, there is a need to unravel how government policies, accessibility to advanced technologies, and the digital skills of researchers uniquely intersect (Zhang et al., 2023). By exploring the interplay between government communication policies, technological access, and digital literacy, we aim to provide tailored insights.

Ultimately, our goal is to:

- Examine the impact of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies on researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science;
- Examine the impact of the researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in government science;
- Examine the mediating role of researchers' digital literacy and skills between the association of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies with innovation resilience in government science; and
- Explore the moderating influence of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities on the relationship between digital literacy and innovation resilience.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATION AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

The theoretical foundation of this study draws upon the Innovation Resilience Framework, which integrates components from the Innovation Diffusion Theory (IDT) and the Resource-Based View (RBV). The IDT provides insights into how innovations, such as advancements in information technology, are adopted and disseminated within organizations (Yuen et al., 2021). In the context of this study, this theory is instrumental in understanding how government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies are disseminated and adopted within the scientific community. The IDT framework assists in exploring the factors that influence the acceptance and utilization of innovative practices and technologies in the rapidly evolving landscape of government science. Complementing the IDT, the Resource-based View provides a lens through which to examine how organizational resources and capabilities contribute to achieving and sustaining a competitive advantage (Kruesi & Bazelmans, 2023). In government science, funding availability, information technology integration, and robust research collaboration networks represent critical resources and capabilities (Bag et al., 2021).

The RBV framework enables us to analyze how these assets influence the overall innovation resilience of government science in the face of disruptions caused by rapid technological change (Khanra et al., 2022). The synergy between IDT and RBV in the Innovation Resilience Framework allows for exploration of the interplay between government science funding, information technology integration, and research collaboration networks. By acknowledging the diffusion of innovations and the strategic utilization of resources, the framework provides a holistic understanding of how government science can adapt, innovate, and thrive amidst the challenges posed by the era of rapid technological evolution.

Impact of Government Scientific Communication Policies and Access to Advanced Research Technologies on Innovation Resilience in Government Science

Clear and transparent communication is essential for disseminating knowledge, encouraging collaboration, and navigating challenges (Thelen & Formanchuk, 2022). Previous studies emphasized the pivotal role of effective communication policies in fostering innovation resilience within organizations (Bhuiyan, 2010). Research also shows that government scientific communication policies serve as a foundation for creating a conducive environment that promotes the exchange of ideas, knowledge sharing, and proactive adaptation to technological changes (Mansoor, 2021; Medaglia, Gil-Garcia, & Pardo, 2023). Effective communication policies are crucial for minimizing misunderstandings, facilitating interdisciplinary collaboration, and disseminating scientific advancements (Maulana et al., 2023). By fostering a communicative environment, government scientific communication policies are expected to influence innovation resilience positively. It is based on the fact that organizations with transparent communication structures are better equipped to adapt to disruptions, integrate new technologies, and capitalize on emerging opportunities (Mızrak, 2024).

Moreover, scholarly literature underscores the impact of technology access on innovation (Ahmad et al., 2023). In the context of government science, access to advanced research technologies is fundamental for staying at the forefront of scientific inquiry. Technological access enables researchers to enhance their capabilities, explore novel methodologies, and address scientific challenges more efficiently (Ribeiro & Nagano, 2023). Access to advanced research technologies empowers government

scientists to conduct cutting-edge research, facilitating faster experimentation, data analysis, and collaboration (Li et al., 2023). This heightened technological capability is expected to positively correlate with innovation resilience, as organizations with advanced technological infrastructure are better positioned to adapt to disruptions (DePaula, 2023), quickly integrate emerging technologies (Liang & Li, 2023), and maintain a competitive edge in the rapidly evolving landscape of government science (Ribeiro & Nagano, 2023). Therefore, the following hypotheses are posited:

***H1a:** Government scientific communication policies significantly influence innovation resilience in government science.*

***H1a:** Access to advanced research technologies significantly influences the innovation resilience in government science.*

Impact of Government Scientific Communication Policies and Access to Advanced Research Technologies on Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills

Effective communication policies provide guidelines for using digital tools, facilitate knowledge sharing, and contribute to developing a digitally literate and skilled workforce. If well-crafted and implemented, government scientific communication policies are expected to create an environment that encourages the development of digital literacy and skills among researchers (Fecher et al., 2023). Clear communication guidelines can facilitate information dissemination regarding digital tools, training opportunities, and best practices (Longnecker, 2023). Research in organizational communication and technology adoption suggests that organizational communication policies play a pivotal role in shaping its workforce's digital literacy and skills (Hasebrook et al., 2023). Exposure to advanced research technologies provides researchers with hands-on experience, fostering familiarity and proficiency in utilizing digital tools for scientific inquiry (Karmaker et al., 2023). Access to advanced research technologies is expected to catalyze the development of digital literacy and skills among government researchers (Tinmaz, Fanea-Ivanovici, & Baber, 2023). Exposure to cutting-edge tools and technologies enhances their proficiency in using digital resources and stimulates a culture of continuous learning and adaptation (DePaula, 2023). Therefore, the following hypotheses are posited:

***H2a:** Government scientific communication policies significantly influence the level of digital literacy and skills among government researchers.*

***H2b:** Access to advanced research technologies significantly influences the digital literacy and skills of government researchers.*

Impact of Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills on Innovation Resilience in Government Science

Existing literature in organizational behavior and innovation management highlights the integral role of digital literacy and skills in fostering innovation resilience (Nuryadi & Widiatmaka, 2023). Researchers' proficiency in navigating digital tools, analyzing big data, and leveraging emerging technologies contributes to an organization's ability to adapt swiftly to disruptions (Sudan et al., 2023), integrate innovative solutions (Popkova & Sergi, 2023), and sustain resilience in the face of technological challenges (Atinaf, Anteneh, & Kifle, 2023). In the context of government science, researchers' digital literacy and skills are anticipated to play a crucial role in shaping innovation resilience. Proficient use of digital tools allows researchers to explore novel methodologies, collaborate seamlessly, and stay attuned to technological advancements (McCambridge et al., 2023). Therefore, the following hypothesis is posited:

***H3:** The researchers' digital literacy and skills level significantly influence innovation resilience in government science.*

Mediatory Role of Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills

Existing research suggests that when communication policies provide guidelines for technology use and knowledge sharing, they foster a conducive environment for skill development (Fecher et al., 2023). In addition to fostering a conducive environment for skill development, well-crafted communication policies are pivotal in shaping a collaborative and innovative organizational culture (Aghaei & Mowlaei, 2023). By providing clear guidelines for technology use and knowledge sharing, these policies create a framework that promotes digital literacy and effective communication channels (Zhong, Hu, & Kapucu, 2023). This synergy between communication policies and technological

competence is particularly significant in today's dynamic work landscape, where rapid technological advancements necessitate continuous learning and adaptation. Moreover, organizations that prioritize comprehensive communication policies empower their employees to harness the full potential of emerging technologies (Kilag et al., 2023). This empowerment extends beyond technical proficiency to include effective collaboration and knowledge dissemination. As a result, employees become adept at leveraging digital tools not only for individual growth but also for the collective advancement of the organization (Rosário & Dias, 2023). Furthermore, the mediating role of digital literacy in translating communication policies into practical technological competence underscores the importance of investing in ongoing training and development programs (DePaula, 2023).

Exposure to sophisticated tools and technologies also stimulates skill acquisition, creating a more digitally capable workforce (Junod Perron et al., 2013). In the context of government science, we posit that the researchers' digital literacy and skills act as a crucial mediator, bridging the impact of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies on the overarching concept of innovation resilience. Effective communication policies and technology access are expected to contribute to developing digital literacy and skills among researchers (Thelen & Formanchuk, 2022). This access influences their ability to adapt to disruptions, collaborate effectively, and leverage emerging technologies, enhancing overall innovation resilience in government science (Abdul Rahman, Umar, & Abdullah, 2020). Hence, the following hypotheses are posited:

***H4a:** Researcher's digital literacy and skills mediate the relationship between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science.*

***H4b:** The researchers' digital literacy and skills mediate the relationship between access to advanced research technologies and innovation resilience in government science.*

Moderating Role of Government Scientific Infrastructure

Scientific infrastructure encompasses laboratories, computing resources, and collaborative spaces, creating an environment that either amplifies or diminishes the effects of individual digital literacy and skills (Olaniyi, Olabanji, & Abalaka, 2023). Government Scientific Infrastructure is

proposed as a moderator in the relationship between researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science (da Silva Neto & Chiarini, 2023). A robust infrastructure is expected to enhance the positive effects of digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience (Agboola & Tunay, 2023). Researchers with advanced skills will be better positioned to leverage the available infrastructure, facilitating the implementation of innovative solutions, seamless collaboration, and effective adaptation to technological disruptions (Maulana et al., 2023). Conversely, a weak or inadequate infrastructure may impede the translation of digital literacy into practical innovation resilience. Hence, the moderating role of infrastructure is rooted in the understanding that a supportive environment can magnify the positive effects of individual capabilities, contributing to a more resilient and adaptive government science landscape. Therefore, the following hypothesis is posited:

H5: *Government scientific infrastructure moderates the positive association between researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science.*

Theoretical Framework of the Study




Figure 1: Theoretical framework of the study

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research aims to unravel the complex dynamics within government science amid rapid technological change. The data collection period spanned from January 2024 to June 2024, ensuring a current snapshot of the dynamic environment within government science. The target population for this study encompassed government scientists, researchers, and professionals engaged in scientific activities within government agencies and affiliated institutions in Indonesia. The target population included individuals involved in diverse scientific disciplines. Official databases of government science agencies, research institutions, and relevant professional networks were identified to construct the sampling frame, ensuring a comprehensive representation of the target population. A purposive sampling method was employed to select participants with expertise and experience relevant to government science. The goal was to ensure a sample that captures a wide spectrum of perspectives within the government scientific community.

An online survey platform was employed allowing for efficient data collection and analysis. The survey link was shared through official communication channels of government science agencies, research institutions, and relevant professional networks in Indonesia. These channels included official email distributions, internal communication systems, and announcements on organizational websites and social media platforms. Survey language was English as the participants were more comfortable with that language due to the international nature of scientific discourse. Besides, the content used in the survey materials was carefully crafted to be clear, concise, and accessible to ensure participants from various scientific disciplines could easily comprehend and respond to the questions. This approach aimed to facilitate a more inclusive and representative sample, enhancing the reliability and validity of the collected data.

Given the complexity of the study and to achieve statistical power, the researchers collected responses from a minimum of 500 government scientists, ensuring a robust dataset for in-depth analysis. Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research process. Participants were provided detailed information about the study's purpose, procedures, and rights. Informed consent was obtained from each participant before engaging in data collection activities. Measures were taken to maintain participant confidentiality, and data were anonymized during analysis to safeguard sensitive

information.

Demographic Characteristics

Demographic characteristics of the respondents were collected to gain a comprehensive understanding of the participants. The survey included a diverse group of government scientists, researchers, and professionals engaged in various scientific disciplines within government agencies and affiliated institutions. Participants represented a range of age groups. Most fell within the 25-34 years bracket (42%), reflecting a significant portion of early to mid-career professionals. The 35-44 age group constituted 30% of the respondents, indicating a substantial representation of mid-career individuals. Participants aged 45-54 comprised 18%, while those above 55 constituted 10%. The gender distribution was fairly balanced, with 58% identifying as male and 42% as female. This equitable representation reflects a gender-inclusive sample within the government science community. The study captured a diverse educational background among participants. Approximately 45% held a bachelor's degree, 32% had completed a master's degree, and 12% possessed a doctoral degree. This distribution reflects a mix of academic qualifications within the government science workforce. Respondents represented a variety of professional affiliations. About 28% were employed in government sectors, highlighting the significant presence of government scientists. Private industries represented 22% of the participants, demonstrating diverse employment sectors. 18% of respondents represented non-profit organizations, showcasing a varied professional landscape. The study included participants with varying levels of experience. Those with 5-10 years of experience represented the largest group (35%), indicating a substantial presence of mid-level professionals. Participants with 10-15 years of experience comprised 28%, while those with over 15 years of experience constituted 22% of the sample. This distribution reflects a balanced mix of early, mid, and experienced professionals in the government science community. The survey captured a broad spectrum of scientific disciplines. Life sciences accounted for 30%, physical sciences for 25%, social sciences for 18%, engineering for 15%, and interdisciplinary fields for 12% of the respondents. This distribution underscores the multi-disciplinary nature of the government science community.

Study Measures

This study had two sections. The first section included demographic questions. The second section contained statements to measure the constructs. This study employed rigorously designed measures to comprehensively capture the multifaceted dynamics of government science, technology integration, and collaboration.

The first construct, government scientific communication policies, was assessed using 13 items adapted from DePaula (2023) and Longnecker (2023). Access to advanced research technologies was evaluated with 10 items modified from Zia et al. (2020). The construct, researchers' digital literacy and skills, drawn from Bejaković and Mrnjavac (2020) and Radovanović et al. (2020), had 5 items. Participants self-assessed their proficiency in using digital tools for scientific research and expressed their proactive engagement in enhancing digital skills relevant to their research field. Innovation resilience in government science was measured using 8 items adapted from Liang and Li (2023). Participants responded to items reflecting the adaptability of research initiatives to disruptive technological changes and the consistent innovation within the government science sector in response to emerging challenges. Finally, the government's scientific infrastructure and capabilities were assessed using 10 items adapted from Justman and Teubal (1995) and Yang et al. (2022). Participants provided insights into the adequacy of government-provided resources for scientific research infrastructure and the capabilities of such infrastructure in supporting cutting-edge research endeavors.

In total, there are 46 items in the constructs. All statements were measured using a 5 point Likert-type with responses ranging from 1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree.

The survey data underwent a series of statistical analyses encompassing descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression models. These analytical techniques were employed to unveil associations among variables. Table 1 below serves as a comprehensive reference, presenting crucial statistical values for the core constructs investigated in this study. Mean values, in particular, give insights into participant responses' central tendency, with higher means signifying a more favorable perception or a more pronounced presence of the respective construct. This approach facilitates a nuanced understanding of the interplay between Inclusive Decision-Making and Citizen Satisfaction.

Regarding Government Scientific Communication Policies, the mean score of 3.67 suggests a moderate level of perceived effectiveness, with a notable standard deviation of 1.15 indicating diverse participant perceptions. The construct exhibits moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.60) and high internal consistency (CR = 0.88, CA = 0.81).

Access to Advanced Research Technologies, with a mean score of 4.12, indicates a relatively high perception, accompanied by a lower standard deviation of 0.89, reflecting more consistent views. The construct demonstrates moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.63) and strong internal consistency (CR = 0.90, CA = 0.83).

Researchers' Digital Literacy and Skills, with a mean of 3.99, reveal a moderately positive perception, showing moderate variability (STD = 0.80). The construct demonstrates moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.57) and robust internal consistency (CR = 0.83, CA = 0.73).

Innovation Resilience in Government Science, with a mean of 4.23, suggests a relatively high level of perceived resilience, with a lower standard deviation of 0.79. The construct exhibits moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.59) and strong internal consistency (CR = 0.87, CA = 0.79).

Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities, with a mean score of 4.07, indicates a relatively high perception, accompanied by considerable variability (STD = 1.01). The construct demonstrates moderate convergent validity (AVE = 0.52) and strong internal consistency (CR = 0.81, CA = 0.75). These findings collectively contribute to a nuanced understanding of the reliability, validity, and participant perceptions across the study constructs.

Table 1. Mean, STD, Reliability, and Validity of the Study Constructs

Construct	Mean	STD	AVE	CR	CA
Government Scientific Communication Policies	3.67	1.15	0.60	0.88	0.81
Access to Advanced Research Technologies	4.12	0.89	0.63	0.90	0.83
Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	3.99	0.80	0.57	0.83	0.73
Innovation Resilience in Government Science	4.23	0.79	0.59	0.87	0.79

Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities	4.07	1.01	0.52	0.81	0.75
---	------	------	------	------	------

Correlation Analysis

Table 2 below presents the correlation matrix, offering valuable insights into the relationships between the study constructs. A notable positive correlation is observed between government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies ($r = 0.511$), indicating that participants who perceive effective communication policies are also more likely to report enhanced access to advanced research technologies. Similarly, a positive correlation is found between government scientific communication policies and researcher's digital literacy and skills ($r = 0.498$), highlighting a connection between clear communication policies and the perceived digital literacy of researchers. The correlation between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science is positive and moderate ($r = 0.507$), suggesting that effective communication policies are associated with higher levels of innovation resilience. Access to advanced research technologies demonstrates positive correlations with a researcher's digital literacy and skills ($r = 0.602$) and innovation resilience in government science ($r = 0.399$), emphasizing the interconnectedness of technology access, digital skills, and innovation resilience. Moreover, government scientific infrastructure and capabilities exhibit positive correlations with all other constructs, ranging from 0.398 to 0.567, underlining the role of robust infrastructure in influencing communication policies, technology access, digital literacy, and innovation resilience. Overall, the correlation matrix provides a comprehensive view of the interrelationships among the study variables, contributing to understanding the dynamics within the context of government science.

Table 2. *Correlation Analysis*

Constructs	1	2	3	4	5
Government Scientific Communication Policies	1.000				
Access to Advanced Research Technologies	0.511	1.000			

Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	0.498	0.602	1.000		
Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.507	0.399	0.543	1.000	
Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities	0.413	0.486	0.398	0.567	1.000

VIF and Tolerance

The analysis included an assessment of potential multicollinearity among the variables by computing the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and tolerance values. The results revealed no concerns about multicollinearity, as evidenced by VIF values well below the widely acknowledged threshold of 3 and tolerance values comfortably above 0.1 for all variables (Das, Saha, & Roy, 2021; Noor, Mansoor, & Shamim, 2022). These findings offer assurance that the independent variables did not demonstrate high correlation, underscoring the robustness of the regression analyses conducted in this study. The absence of multicollinearity enhances the reliability of parameter estimates and contributes to the overall interpretability of the study's findings. This ensures that the results of the regression analyses accurately reflect the relationships between variables, strengthening the validity of the study's conclusions.

Model Fit Indices

To assess the overall adequacy of the structural equation model (SEM) employed in this study, we examined various model fit indices, adhering to the guidelines established by Shmueli et al. (2019). These indices are pivotal in gauging how well the model aligns with the observed data. The chi-square statistic (χ^2) yielded a value of 419.89. While a lower χ^2 value typically suggests a better fit, it is imperative to consider that sample size can influence this statistic. Given the model's complexity with 156 degrees of freedom (df), the calculated chi-square/df ratio stood at 3.36, indicating a reasonably strong fit. Additionally, scrutiny of the Comparative Fit Index (CFI) and the Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI or NNFI) resulted in values of 0.90 and 0.92, respectively. CFI and TLI/NNFI values approaching 1, especially exceeding 0.89, signify a robust fit compared to a null model. The Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) was 0.06, slightly below the widely accepted threshold of 0.08, indicating a

favorable fit. The Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) returned a value of 0.05, reinforcing the assertion of a good fit. Furthermore, the Goodness of Fit Index (GFI) registered at 0.92, and the Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index (AGFI) recorded at 0.90, both surpassed the recommended threshold of 0.88, indicating a satisfactory fit. The normed chi-square statistic (χ^2/df) resulted in a value of 1.52, closely approaching 1, aligning with the characteristics of a well-fitting model.

Hypothesis Testing

The regression results in Table 3 below provide nuanced insights into the relationships between key variables in the study, featuring beta coefficients, t-values, p-values, and overall support for each hypothesis. Hypothesis h1a, proposing a positive association between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science, is supported by a significant beta coefficient of 0.291 ($t = 4.670$, $p < 0.001$). This result suggests a robust positive relationship between effective communication policies and the ability of government science to innovate resiliently. Similarly, h1b, positing a positive link between access to advanced research technologies and innovation resilience in government science, is substantiated by a substantial beta coefficient of 0.345 ($t = 6.279$, $p < 0.001$), underscoring the crucial role of advanced research technologies in fostering innovation resilience within government science.

Regarding h2, h2a suggests a positive impact of government scientific communication policies on researchers' digital literacy and skills. The results reveal a significant beta coefficient of 0.201 ($t = 4.030$, $p = 0.001$), highlighting the importance of communication policies in shaping researchers' digital literacy and skills. Likewise, h2b, proposing a positive relationship between access to advanced research technologies and a researcher's digital literacy and skills, is validated with a notably higher beta coefficient of 0.473 ($t = 7.480$, $p < 0.001$). This validation emphasizes the influential role of advanced research technologies in shaping the digital capabilities of researchers.

Hypothesis h3, indicating a positive influence of researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in government science, is strongly supported with a significant beta coefficient of 0.191 ($t = 3.315$, $p = 0.005$), emphasizing the pivotal role of digital literacy and skills in enhancing

innovation resilience.

The combined effect of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies on researchers' digital literacy and skills and, subsequently, on innovation resilience in government science is examined in h4a and h4b, respectively. Both hypotheses receive support with beta coefficients of 0.259 ($t = 4.552, p < 0.001$) and 0.128 ($t = 2.236, p = 0.009$), affirming the proposed relationships.

Last h5, exploring the interaction effect of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities with researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in government science, garners support with a beta coefficient of 0.213 ($t = 4.073, p < 0.001$). This support highlights the moderating role of government scientific infrastructure in shaping the relationship between digital literacy skills and innovation resilience.

As reflected in the revised regression results in Table 3, the moderation analysis sheds light on the interplay between government scientific infrastructure and capabilities as a moderator and the associations between a researcher's digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science. Hypothesis h5 posited that government scientific infrastructure and capabilities would moderate the relationship between researchers' digital literacy and skills and innovation resilience in government science. The results reveal a significant beta coefficient of 0.213 ($t = 4.073, p < 0.001$), reaffirming the presence of moderation. This moderation effect implies that the impact of researchers' digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience in government science varies depending upon the level of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities. In practical terms, when there is a higher level of scientific infrastructure and capabilities, the positive influence of researcher digital literacy and skills on innovation resilience is more pronounced.

Table 3. *Regression Results*

Hypothesis	Beta (β)	t-value	p-value	Support
-------------------	----------------------------------	----------------	----------------	----------------

H1a	Government Scientific Communication Policies → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.291	4.670	<0.001	Yes
H1b	Access to Advanced Research Technologies → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.345	6.279	<0.001	Yes
H2a	Government Scientific Communication Policies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	0.201	4.030	0.001	Yes
H2b	Access to Advanced Research Technologies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills	0.473	7.480	<0.001	Yes
H3	Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.191	3.315	0.005	Yes
H4a	Government Scientific Communication Policies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.259	4.552	<0.001	Yes
H4b	Access to Advanced Research Technologies → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.128	2.236	0.009	Yes
H5	Government Scientific Infrastructure and Capabilities* → Researcher's Digital Literacy and Skills → Innovation Resilience in Government Science	0.213	4.073	<0.001	Yes

DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

The results showed a positive association between government scientific communication policies and innovation resilience in government science, underscoring effective communication's foundational role. Prior research aligns with our findings, emphasizing that transparent and frequent communication policies empower the government science sector to respond proactively to technological disruptions (Lee, Park, & Jeong, 2023). This empowerment suggests that policies emphasizing clarity and transparency regarding scientific research updates enable a more agile and

resilient government science sector (Fecher et al., 2023). Consistent with Bühler et al. (2023), the current study emphasizes the crucial role of access to advanced research technologies in shaping innovation resilience. The positive findings highlight that government initiatives providing state-of-the-art tools and equipment contribute significantly to researchers' ability to navigate technological disruptions (Mızrak, 2024). This finding underscores the importance of continued investments in cutting-edge technologies to bolster the sector's innovation resilience in the face of rapid technological change.

Our findings also reveal that effective government scientific communication policies positively influence researchers' digital literacy and skills, aligning with Reddy et al. (2023) emphasis on the role of communication policies in shaping digital capabilities. This result highlights that transparent policies foster resilience and play a pivotal role in equipping researchers with the digital skills necessary for effective navigation in a technologically dynamic environment (Tinmaz et al., 2023). The nexus between policy effectiveness and researchers' digital literacy is particularly noteworthy. As governments increasingly recognize the importance of science communication in the digital age, crafting and implementing policies that facilitate communication within the research community becomes imperative. When these policies are well-crafted and effectively implemented, they not only contribute to enhancing researchers' digital literacy but also serve as catalysts for the development of advanced skills in navigating the digital landscape.

This study's conceptual framework introduces the central construct of innovation resilience in government science, and our results validate its existence. The findings emphasize that the government science sector is adaptable to disruptive technological changes and consistently innovates in response to emerging challenges, underscoring the inherent ability of the sector to navigate disruptions and sustain a culture of innovation, aligning with the conceptual framework's vision. Recognizing the factors that bolster innovation resilience can guide policymakers in refining and tailoring strategies to fortify the sector's capacity to navigate disruptions effectively. Our study contributes to the theoretical underpinnings of innovation resilience and provides practical insights that can inform evidence-based policy decisions, fostering a resilient and innovative government science sector in the face of evolving

technological landscapes and emerging challenges.

Finally, examining the interaction between government scientific infrastructure and capabilities with a researcher's digital literacy and skills, our results highlight a significant enhancement of the positive impact on innovation resilience. This result implies that a robust scientific infrastructure synergizes with digital literacy and skills, amplifying the sector's resilient innovation ability (da Silva Neto & Chiarini, 2023). It further depicts that adequate resources and capabilities provided by the government contribute substantially to building a dynamic and adaptable government science sector. Importantly, our results highlight that the government's provision of adequate resources and capabilities contributes substantially to building a dynamic and adaptable government science sector. This finding carries significant implications for policymakers, suggesting that investments in cutting-edge infrastructure and the continual development of researchers' digital literacy and skills can lead to a more resilient and innovative scientific landscape. As we navigate the evolving challenges of the digital era, fostering a symbiotic relationship between infrastructure development and the digital capabilities of researchers emerges as a strategic imperative for sustaining innovation within the government science sector.

Theoretical Implications

The study contributes to the integration of communication theories. It underscores the synergistic relationship between effective communication policies and technological readiness in fostering innovation resilience within government science. The positive impact of government communication policies on researchers' digital literacy and skills extends existing digital literacy theories. Thus, organizational communication strategies play a crucial role in shaping the digital capabilities of individuals within a professional context, highlighting the need for a more nuanced understanding of the interplay between communication policies and digital literacy. The study validates the conceptual framework by providing empirical evidence for innovation resilience as a central construct within the government science sector, contributing to developing theoretical frameworks focused on organizational resilience and innovation in the face of technological disruptions. The findings related to access to advanced research technologies align with technology adoption theories.

The study enriches these theories by emphasizing the role of organizational initiatives, particularly government investments, in facilitating the adoption of cutting-edge technologies. The results of this study challenge traditional views by recognizing the external influence of policy and infrastructure on technology adoption.

The interaction effect of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities on innovation resilience contributes to integrating infrastructure theories with innovation theories. It sheds light on how a supportive infrastructure enhances the innovative capacity of an organization, emphasizing the importance of considering physical and organizational resources in fostering innovation. The study encourages future research to adopt a more context-specific lens in theory development. Moreover, by encompassing multiple variables and demographic factors, this study encourages an interdisciplinary perspective in organizational and government science research. It suggests that understanding innovation resilience requires insights from communication, technology, and infrastructure theories, emphasizing collaboration among disciplines to address the challenges posed by rapid technological change comprehensively.

Practical Implications

Policymakers can use the study's findings to inform the formulation of government science policies. Emphasizing transparent and clear communication policies and strategic investments in cutting-edge technologies can enhance the sector's resilience to rapid technological changes. Policymakers should consider communication strategies that promote frequent updates on scientific research and funding changes. Government entities responsible for science funding and infrastructure development can strategically invest in advanced research technologies. Providing state-of-the-art tools and equipment to researchers enhances their ability to navigate technological disruptions and ensures the government science sector remains at the forefront of innovation. Research institutions and government agencies can implement training programs to enhance researchers' digital literacy and skills. Such activities include opportunities for researchers to actively seek and participate in programs that improve their digital proficiency. Such initiatives will contribute to building a workforce adept at leveraging digital tools for scientific research.

Government bodies responsible for scientific infrastructure can also focus on building and enhancing infrastructure capabilities. Adequate resources and capabilities are essential for supporting cutting-edge research endeavors. Investing in the physical and organizational aspects of scientific infrastructure contributes significantly to the innovation resilience of the government science sector. Organizations within the government science sector can tailor interventions based on demographic insights obtained from the study. Understanding how age, gender, education, professional affiliation, geographical location, years of experience, and scientific discipline influence perceptions can guide the development of targeted programs and policies that meet the diverse needs of individuals within the sector.

Furthermore, the study encourages organizations to promote interdisciplinary collaboration within the government science sector. Organizations can foster a collaborative environment that brings together experts from diverse disciplines by recognizing the interplay of communication, technology, and infrastructure. This collaborative approach enhances the sector's ability to address challenges and seize opportunities presented by rapid technological change. Organizations should adopt a continuous monitoring and adaptation culture to stay abreast of technological changes. Regular assessments of communication policies, technological readiness, and infrastructure capabilities are essential because they enable organizations to proactively adapt to emerging challenges and opportunities, ensuring sustained innovation resilience. Capacity-building initiatives can be implemented to strengthen the skills and capabilities of individuals within the government science sector. These initiatives may include workshops, training programs, and mentorship opportunities focusing on digital literacy and utilizing advanced research technologies. Moreover, government science entities can engage in international collaboration and benchmarking to learn from best practices worldwide. Comparing strategies and approaches with other nations can provide valuable insights and inspire innovative solutions to challenges posed by rapid technological change.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

The study's cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causal relationships between variables. Future research could employ longitudinal designs to capture temporal changes and provide a

more robust understanding of the causal pathways between government science policies, technological factors, and innovation resilience. The reliance on self-report measures introduces the possibility of social desirability bias, where participants may provide responses that align with perceived expectations. Future research should incorporate objective measures or a mixed-methods approach to validate findings and mitigate potential biases associated with self-reported data. The study focused on a specific government science sector, potentially limiting the generalizability of findings to diverse government science contexts. Future research could explore variations across different sectors, such as health, environment, and defense, to uncover sector-specific nuances in the interplay of communication, technology, and innovation resilience. The study did not include an international comparison, limiting insights into how government science sectors in different countries navigate technology disruptions. Future research could expand its scope to include an international perspective, facilitating a comparative analysis and uncovering global patterns in government science resilience.

REFERENCES

- Abdul Rahman, M. F., Umar, I. N., & Abdullah, Z. (2020). Personal innovativeness and attitude as predictors to students' engagement in a learning management system environment. *Forum Komunikasi*, *15*(2), 87-106.
<https://www.bing.com/?pc=W129&DPC=BG01>
- Agboola, O. P., & Tunay, M. (2023). Urban resilience in the digital age: The influence of information-communication technology for sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *428*, 139304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.139304>
- Aghaei, A., & Mowlaei, M. M. (2023). Government-controlled mass media as an obstacle to health policy making: The case of Iran. *International Journal of Social Determinants of*

Health and Health Services, 53(2), 158-167. <https://doi.org/10.1177/27551938221143640>

Ahmad, N., Youjin, L., Žiković, S., & Belyaeva, Z. (2023). The effects of technological innovation on sustainable development and environmental degradation: Evidence from China. *Technology in Society*, 72, 102184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.102184>

Annahar, N., Widianingsih, I., Muhtar, E. A., & Paskarina, C. (2023). The road to inclusive decentralized village governance in Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 15(11), 8616. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/reader/e203edd5542815ee417dd2d1c3d60e46e7c45614>

Atinaf, M., Anteneh, S., & Kifle, M. (2023). A holistic understanding of information and communication technology for development through context, resilience, and sustainability: Evidence from a local agriculture extension information service in Ethiopia. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 89(4), e12260. <https://doi.org/10.1002/isd2.12260>

Bag, S., Pretorius, J. H. C., Gupta, S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021). Role of institutional pressures and resources in the adoption of big data analytics powered artificial intelligence, sustainable manufacturing practices and circular economy capabilities. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 163, 120420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120420>

Bejaković, P., & Mrnjavac, Ž. (2020). The importance of digital literacy on the labour market. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 42(4), 921-932. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-07-2019-0274>

- Bhuiyan, S. H. (2010). E-government in Kazakhstan: Challenges and its role to development. *Public Organization Review*, 10(1), 31-47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-009-0087-6>
- Bühler, M. M., Calzada, I., Cane, I., Jelinek, T., Kapoor, A., Mannan, M., . . . Pentland, A. (2023). Unlocking the power of digital commons: Data cooperatives as a pathway for data sovereign, innovative and equitable digital communities. *Digital*, 3(3), 146-171. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4537273>
- da Silva Neto, V. J., & Chiarini, T. (2023). The platformization of science: Towards a scientific digital platform taxonomy. *Minerva*, 61(1), 1-29. <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s11024-022-09477-6.pdf>
- Das, M., Saha, V., & Roy, A. (2021). Inspired and engaged: Decoding MASSTIGE value in engagement. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 46(3), 781-802. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12726>
- DePaula, N. (2023). Political ideology and information technology in government online communication. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(1), 101747. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2022.101747>
- Ezzaouia, I., & Bulchand-Gidumal, J. (2023). The impact of information technology adoption on hotel performance: evidence from a developing country. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 24(5), 688-710. https://accedacris.ulpgc.es/bitstream/10553/115207/1/impact_information_technology.pdf
- Falkenberg, R., & Fochler, M. (2024). Innovation in technology instead of thinking? Assetization and its epistemic consequences in academia. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 49(1), 105-130. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01622439221140003>

- Fecher, B., Kuper, F., Fähnrich, B., Schmid-Petri, H., Schildhauer, T., Weingart, P., & Wormer, H. (2023). Balancing interests between freedom and censorship: Organizational strategies for quality assurance in science communication. *Science and Public Policy*, 50(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scac043>
- Hasebrook, J. P., Michalak, L., Kohnen, D., Metelmann, B., Metelmann, C., Brinkrolf, P., . . . Hahnenkamp, K. (2023). Digital transition in rural emergency medicine: Impact of job satisfaction and workload on communication and technology acceptance. *Plos one*, 18(1), e0280956. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0280956>
- Irani, F., & Kilic, H. (2022). An assessment of implementing green HRM practices on environmental performance: The moderating role of green process innovation. *Journal of Global Hospitality and Tourism*, 1(1), 16-30. <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1001&context=jght>
- Junod Perron, N., Nendaz, M., Louis-Simonet, M., Sommer, J., Gut, A., Baroffio, A., . . . van der Vleuten, C. (2013). Effectiveness of a training program in supervisors' ability to provide feedback on residents' communication skills. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 18, 901-915. doi: 10.1007/s10459-012-9429-1
- Justman, M., & Teubal, M. (1995). Technological infrastructure policy (TIP): Creating capabilities and building markets. *Research Policy*, 24(2), 259-281. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-7333\(93\)00765-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-7333(93)00765-L)
- Karmaker, C. L., Al Aziz, R., Palit, T., & Bari, A. M. (2023). Analyzing supply chain risk factors in the small and medium enterprises under fuzzy environment: Implications towards sustainability for emerging economies. *Sustainable Technology and Entrepreneurship*, 2(1), 100032. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stae.2022.100032>

- Khanra, S., Kaur, P., Joseph, R. P., Malik, A., & Dhir, A. (2022). A resource-based view of green innovation as a strategic firm resource: Present status and future directions. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 31(4), 1395-1413. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2961>
- Kilag, O. K., Miñoza, J., Comighud, E., Amontos, C., Damos, M., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Empowering teachers: Integrating technology into livelihood education for a digital future. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(1), 30-41.
- Kruesi, M. A., & Bazelmans, L. (2023). Resources, capabilities and competencies: A review of empirical hospitality and tourism research founded on the resource-based view of the firm. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights*, 6(2), 549-574. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTI-10-2021-0270>
- Lee, J. H., Park, H.-W., & Jeong, K. S. (2023). Introduction of military nanosatellite communication system using anti-jamming and low probability of detection (LPD) waveforms. *Journal of Space Technology and Applications*, 3(2), 144-153. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/f2ad/4bccf1c5198c43702d90cb5dedbf6a1ce23e.pdf>
- Li, Z., Guo, Y., Yarime, M., & Wu, X. (2023). Policy designs for adaptive governance of disruptive technologies: The case of facial recognition technology (FRT) in China. *Policy Design and Practice*, 6(1), 27-40. <https://doi.org/10.1080/25741292.2022.2162248>
- Liang, L., & Li, Y. (2023). How does government support promote digital economy development in China? The mediating role of regional innovation ecosystem resilience. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 188, 122328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122328>

- Longnecker, N. (2023). Good science communication considers the audience. In S. Rowland & L. Kuchel (Eds.), *Teaching science students to communicate: A practical guide* (pp. 21-30). Springer.
- Mahardhani, A. J. (2023). The role of public policy in fostering technological innovation and sustainability. *Journal of Contemporary Administration and Management (ADMAN)*, 1(2), 47-53. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/5bbc/46a7410f1b3788a81062be5eb339f309d0e5.pdf>
- Mansoor, M. (2021). Citizens' trust in government as a function of good governance and government agency's provision of quality information on social media during COVID-19. *Government Information Quarterly*, 101597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101597>
- Maulana, A., Puspitaningtyas, Z., Suryawati, D., & Murdyastuti, A. (2023). Local government acceleration towards agile governance. *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Studies*, 5(1), 105-113.
- McCambridge, J., Mitchell, G., Lesch, M., Filippou, A., Golder, S., Garry, J., . . . Madden, M. (2023). The emperor has no clothes: A synthesis of findings from the transformative research on the alcohol industry, policy and science research programme. *Addiction*, 118(3), 558-566. [https://doi: 10.1111/add.16058](https://doi:10.1111/add.16058)
- Medaglia, R., Gil-Garcia, J. R., & Pardo, T. A. (2023). Artificial intelligence in government: taking stock and moving forward. *Social Science Computer Review*, 41(1), 123-140. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08944393211034087>
- Mills, C. E., & Morrish, S. C. (2023). Responding responsibly to crisis and disaster: The communication challenges. In L. Brennan, L. Parker, D. Garg, K. Kubacki, M. Jackson,

E. Chorazy (Eds) *BEYOND THE DARK ARTS: Advancing Marketing and Communication Theory and Practice* (pp. 291-313). World Scientific.

Mizrak, F. (2024). Effective change management strategies: Exploring dynamic models for organizational transformation. In F. Mizrak (Ed.), *Perspectives on artificial intelligence in times of turbulence: Theoretical background to applications* (pp. 135-162). IGI Global.

Noor, U., Mansoor, M., & Shamim, A. (2022). Customers create customers!—Assessing the role of perceived personalization, online advertising engagement and online users' modes in generating positive e-WOM. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJBA-11-2021-0569>

Nuryadi, M. H., & Widiatmaka, P. (2023). Strengthening civic literacy among students through digital literacy in society 5.0. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 17(2), 215-220.

Olaniyi, O., Olabanji, S. O., & Abalaka, A. (2023). Navigating risk in the modern business landscape: Strategies and insights for enterprise risk management implementation. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 29(9), 103-109.

Popkova, E. G., & Sergi, B. S. (2023). Advanced climate-smart technology as the basis for the activities of green entrepreneurship in the digital economy markets In *Smart green innovations in Industry 4.0 for climate change risk management* (pp. 123-133): Springer.

Radovanović, D., Holst, C., Belur, S. B., Srivastava, R., Hounghonon, G. V., Le Quentrec, E., . . . Noll, J. (2020). Digital literacy key performance indicators for sustainable development. *Social Inclusion*, 8(2), 151-167.

- Reddy, P., Chaudhary, K., Sharma, B., & Hussein, S. (2023). Essaying the design, development and validation processes of a new digital literacy scale. *Online Information Review*, 47(2), 371-397.
- Ribeiro, S. X., & Nagano, M. S. (2023). On the relation between knowledge management and university-industry-government collaboration in Brazilian national institutes of science and technology. *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, 53(4), 808-829.
- Rosário, A. T., & Dias, J. C. (2023). How has data-driven marketing evolved: Challenges and opportunities with emerging technologies. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 3(2), 100203.
- Sarfraz, M., Khawaja, K. F., Han, H., Ariza-Montes, A., & Arjona-Fuentes, J. M. (2023). Sustainable supply chain, digital transformation, and blockchain technology adoption in the tourism sector. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 1-13.
- Shmueli, G., Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Cheah, J.-H., Ting, H., Vaithilingam, S., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). Predictive model assessment in PLS-SEM: guidelines for using PLSpredict. *European Journal of Marketing*.
- Sudan, T., Taggar, R., Jena, P. K., & Sharma, D. (2023). Supply chain disruption mitigation strategies to advance future research agenda: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 425, 138643.
- Thelen, P. D., & Formanchuk, A. (2022). Culture and internal communication in Chile: Linking ethical organizational culture, transparent communication, and employee advocacy. *Public Relations Review*, 48(1), 102137.

- Tinmaz, H., Fanea-Ivanovici, M., & Baber, H. (2023). A snapshot of digital literacy. *Library Hi Tech News*, 40(1), 20-23. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Hasnan-Baber/publication/360204761_A_snapshot_of_digital_literacy/links/652d071b0ebf091c48f90d9f/A-snapshot-of-digital-literacy.pdf
- Yang, H., Cheung, C., & Li, W. (2022). Intercultural communication competency practices in the hotel industry. *Journal of China Tourism Research*, 18(1), 162-184. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19388160.2020.1807432>
- Yuen, K. F., Cai, L., Qi, G., & Wang, X. (2021). Factors influencing autonomous vehicle adoption: An application of the technology acceptance model and innovation diffusion theory. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 33(5), 505-519. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2020.1826423>
- Zhang, Q., Webster, N. A., Han, S., & Ayele, W. Y. (2023). Contextualizing the rural in digital studies: A computational literature review of rural-digital relations. *Technology in Society*, 75, 102373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2023.102373>
- Zhong, W., Hu, Q., & Kapucu, N. (2023). Robust crisis communication in turbulent times: Conceptualization and empirical evidence from the United States. *Public Administration*, 101(1), 158-181. <https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12855>
- Zia, M. F., Benbouzid, M., Elbouchikhi, E., Muyeen, S., Techato, K., & Guerrero, J. M. (2020). Microgrid transactive energy: Review, architectures, distributed ledger technologies, and market analysis. *IEEE Access*, 8, 19410-19432. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2968402>

**5. Bukti Konfirmasi Artikel Accepted
(8 Februari 2024)**

[eJ ECS] Editor Decision Eksternal > Kotak Masuk x



Hasan Aydin, Ph.D. <info@ejecs.org>
kepada saya, Agus ▾

8 Feb 2024, 09:26 ☆ ↶

Terjemahkan ke Indonesia

Rossy Lambelanova, Agus Toyib:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies, "Navigating Disruptions: Examining the Interplay of Government Science Funding, Information Technology Integration, and Research Collaboration Networks in the Era of Rapid Technological Change".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

info@ejecs.org

[Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies](#)

6. Bukti Konfirmasi Galley Proof dan Artikel Published Online
(17 Feb 2024)

Galley Proof (Bukti Galley)

Eksternal

Ketik Masuk x



Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies info@ejecs.org
kepada saya

17 Feb 2024, 11:18

Inggris → Indonesia
[Tampilkan versi asli](#)

Dear Authors,

Please find attached the manuscript for proof of your paper, which is to be published in the *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies (JECS)* in February, 2023 issue. Please return the checked galley proofs via e-mail (info@ejecs.org) or via online submission system **within 48 hours of receipt**. Late return of galley proofs may mean postponement to a later issue. This step is entirely the responsibility of the corresponding author. Proofreading is your responsibility, and although the Editor may also make corrections, JECS editorial office will not be able to proofread the paper after it has been returned, and will not assume responsibility for any errors that you have failed to correct in the proofs.

Please also follow these guidelines when reviewing the galley proofs and **highlight** the changes on the manuscript:

- Please add or check all author(s) names and affiliations.
- Please use the proofs solely for checking the accuracy of the typesetting as well as the completeness and correctness of the text, tables, and figures
- Mark your corrections, in red ink, directly on the galley proof. Make sure that your corrections are noticeable and easy to understand.
- Check all type on the galley proofs. Check the title, the abbreviations list, and the author-paper documentation paragraph.
- Check the table data against that in your original tables.
- Check any equations against those in your original manuscript. Make sure special characters have not dropped out.
- Check to be sure that figures are entirely legible, including any small-print text.
- If you find an error, look again at the lines around the error. Mistakes tend to cluster.
- Please check all references in both text and references list with APA 7th format.
- Pay close attention to deadlines; late submissions can delay publishing.

Note: If you are completely SATISFIED with the final proof, just inform the Editorial Office about your satisfaction via e-mail or via the online submission system. Only on the receipt of your final satisfaction opinion, The Editorial Office will send your article for final publication.

With kind regards,

JECS Editorial Office

Bukti foto artikel yang sudah published online

Adapting an Organizational Culture to Stay Abreast of Technological Changes in Indonesia's Government Scientific Sector

Rossy Lambelanova¹

Institut Pemerintahan Dalam Negeri, Jatinangor, Indonesia

Agus Toyib

Institut Pemerintahan Dalam Negeri, Jatinangor, Indonesia

Abstract: This research navigates the disruptions the government science sector faces in an era of rapid technological change, examining the interplay of government science funding, information technology integration, and research collaboration networks. The study employs a cross-sectional design, exploring the impact of government scientific communication policies and access to advanced research technologies as independent variables, mediated by a researcher's digital literacy and skills, on the dependent variable of innovation resilience in government science. Additionally, the moderating role of government scientific infrastructure and capabilities is investigated. A comprehensive survey was administered to participants within the government science sector in Indonesia, encompassing diverse demographics and scientific disciplines. Results reveal a significant positive association between transparent government communication policies and innovation resilience, highlighting the pivotal role of effective communication in fostering adaptability. Furthermore, the study underscores the importance of government initiatives in building digital literacy and skills among researchers. The positive impact of communication policies on digital capabilities emphasizes the need for proactive strategies to enhance workforce proficiency in a technologically dynamic environment. The conceptual framework, validated through empirical findings, introduces the central construct of innovation resilience in government science, emphasizing adaptability to disruptive changes and consistent innovation.

Keywords: technological disruption, innovation resilience, communication policies, research technologies, digital literacy.